



ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

FINANCIAL LITIGATION, TAX, AND CHARITABLE TRUSTS DIVISION

COMPTROLLER OF PUBLIC ACCOUNTS CASE LIST AND SUMMARY OF ISSUES

JULY 2014

Table of Contents

Table of Cases

xiii

Franchise Tax

Abercrombie & Fitch, Co. v. Combs, et al.	1
Advance Hydrocarbon Corp. v. Combs, et al.	1
AK Steel Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.	2
Allcat Claims Service, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	2
American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
Amphenol Corporation and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	4
AN Dealership Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.	4
Autohaus, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	5
BASA Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Basic Energy Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	6
Big Mountain Airway, LLC v. Combs, et al.	6
Brown-Forman Corp. and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	7
Caliber Holdings Corp. v. Combs, et al.	7
Carl Owens Paint & Body, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
CGG Veritas Services (U.S.) Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
Chevron U.S.A. Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	9
Chico's FAS, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	9
Cook Medical LLC Successor in Interest to Cook Medical Incorporated v. Combs, et al.	10
Deer Run/Bassword, LLC, et al. v. Combs, et al.	10
Dish Network, LLC v. Combs, et al.	11
Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	11
Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	12
Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Combs, et al.	12
Gillette Commercial Operations North America, Inc. and Subsidiaries	13
Graphic Packaging Corp. v. Combs, et al.	13
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Combs	14
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.	14
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
H.J. Heinz Company and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	15
Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.	16
Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.	16

Hasbro, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17
I-Tel, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	19
Medtronic, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	19
Michelin Corp. v. Combs, et al.	20
Nestle USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	20
Newpark Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	21
Nextera Energy Power Marketing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	22
Nike USA, Inc. v. Combs	22
NTS Communications, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	23
PEK, Inc. dba Serviceline Transport v. Combs, et al.	23
Rent-A-Center, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Seltex, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Service King Paint & Body, LLC as Successor to Alamo Body & Paint, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	25
Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.	26
Silgan Containers Manufacturing Corp. and Silgan Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	26
Statewide Materials Transport, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	27
Sunstate Equipment Co., L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.	27
Tempur Sealy International, Inc. and Subsidiaries (formerly known as Tempu-Pedic International and Subsidiaries) v. Combs, et al.	28
Texas Autocrafters, LP v. Combs, et al.	28
Titan Transportation, LP v. Combs, et al.	29
TLH Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	30
Toro Rojo, Inc. and Casco Hauling and Excavating, Co. v. Combs, et al.	30
Total Safety, U.S., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	30
TTX Company v. Combs, et al.	31
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	31
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35

816 Charter, LLC v. Combs, et al.	36
Acetylene Oxygen Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	36
Agri-Plex Heating & Cooling, LLC v. Combs, et al.	37
Alfred F. Mares v. Combs	37
Allstate Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.	38
Al-Noor Impex Corporation and Azim Bhaiwala v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs	38
Alstom Power, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	39
Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.	39
Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.	40
Arnold Oil Company of Austin, LP v. Combs, et al.	40
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	41
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
BAH Texas, LLC v. Combs, et al.	42
Bhaiwala Corporation, et al. v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs	43
BJ400XP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	43
Blue Moon Detective Agency, LLC v. Combs, et al.	44
Blum Investment Group, Inc. dba ProCare Software v. Combs, et al.	44
BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	45
BTA Oil Producers, LLC v. Combs, et al.	46
Buckhorn Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	46
Budget Prepay, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	47
C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	47
Caledon Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	47
Cantu Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.	48
Captain Hook-Austin, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	48
Catalino A. Pajo v. Combs, et al.	49
Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.	49
Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.	50
Cirrus Exploration Company v. Combs, et al.	50
City of Dickinson v. Combs	51
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	51
CJN Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	53
Club Hat Tricks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	53
Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54
Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54
Courthouse Direct.com v. Combs, et al.	55

Crowson Litigation Settlement Trust v. Combs, et al.	55
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dolan Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.	58
Doubletree DTWC Corp. v. Combs, et al. (Formerly Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.)	59
DSW Shoe Warehouse, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
EBIX, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
Efrem T. Tesfay v. Combs, et al.	61
Elie Sadik Haddad v. Combs, et al.	61
EMC Corp. v. Combs, et al.	62
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs	62
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	63
EOG Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	63
Evo Inc. v. Combs	64
EWC Aviation Corp. v. Combs, et al.	64
Expo Windows Fashion, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	65
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.	65
Fair Isaac Corp. v. Combs, et al.	65
Fencecrete America Manufacturing, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	66
First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	66
FTS Aero, LLC v. Combs, et al.	67
GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.	67
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	68
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	68
Good Mourning Tree Co., Inc. and Sidney Francis Mourning v. Combs, et al.	68
Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.	69
Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.	69
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	70
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	70
Grocers Supply Institutional Convenience Inc. v. Combs, et al.	71
Grocers Supply Produce Co. v. Combs, et al.	71
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	71

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72
Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	72
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
H.K. Global Trading, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	73
Health Care Service Corp. v. Combs, et al.	74
Health Care Service Corporation, A Mutual Legal Reserve Company, Successor to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	74
Health Care Services, Corp. v. Combs	75
High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.	75
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
Hotel Paso Del Norte, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	76
Intrado, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	77
J.E. Dunn Construction Co. v. Combs, et al.	77
Jawa Fuel, Inc. and Saif Ullah v. Combs, et al.	78
Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	78
Kenneth O. Lester, Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	78
Krishna Bhavana, LLC and Krishna Kothari v. Combs, et al.	79
Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.	79
KST Electric, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	80
Leoncito Plant, L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.	80
LH Air, LLC v. Combs, et al.	81
Linda S. Jones dba Johnny's Package Store and also dba Johnny's v. Combs, et al.	81
Loyd House Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	82
Makara Enterprise, LP v. Combs, et al.	82
Marlin Leasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	83
Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.	83
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
Michael Johnson v. Combs, et al.	84
Modern Furniture, Inc. and Thanh Thuan Ly v. Combs, et al.	85
OA, LLC v. Combs, et al.	85
Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	86
Pointsmith Point of Purchase Management Services, LP v. Combs, et al.	86
Rackspace US Inc. v. Combs, et al.	87
Restaurants Acquisition I, LLC v. Combs, et al.	87
Richmont Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	88
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	89

Roberts Ranch and Investments, LLC v. Combs, et al.	89
Root's Rocks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	90
Ryan, LLC v. Combs	90
Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.	91
Sanadco, Inc. and Mahmoud A. Isba aka Moumoud Ahmed Abuisba aka Mike Isba v. Combs, et al.	91
Satellite Transportation Services, LLC v. Combs, et al.	92
SBC Aviation Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	92
Shehzad Dhanani v. Combs, et al.	93
Silicon Laboratories, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	93
Softlayer Technologies, Inc. fka The Planet.com Internet Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	94
Southwest Airlines, Co. v. Combs, et al.	94
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	95
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	95
Store Display Fixtures, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	96
Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.	96
Tecpetrol Operating, LLC v. Combs, et al.	97
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	98
Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Denton v. Combs, et al.	98
TJ Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	99
Toppan Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	99
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	100
Tres Palacios Gas Storage, LLC v. Combs, et al.	100
Trieagle Energy, LP v. Combs, et al.	101
U.S. Food Service, Inc. f/k/a White Swan, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	101
U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.	101
U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	102
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	102
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	103
W. Robert Brown v. Combs, et al.	104
Walton Enterprises, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	104
Weatherization Management Group, LLC, In re	105
Zook Inc. v. Combs, et al.	105

Insurance Tax

American National Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	107
--	-----

American National Life Insurance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.	107
Argonaut Insurance Company and Argonaut Great Central v. Combs, et al.	108
Fidelity National Title Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.	108
Imperial Fire and Casualty Company v. Combs, et al.	109
Standard Life and Accident Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	109

Other Taxes

35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.	111
A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.	111
Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	111
Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	113
Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.	113
D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	114
El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.	115
Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	115
ER Gaston, Ltd. dba The Elbow Room v. Combs	116
FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.	116
Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.	117
I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	117
Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.	117
John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.	118
Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	118
KCK Utility Construction, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	119
Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	119
MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	119
Minh Tran Hammond v. Combs, et al.	120
North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	120
RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	121
Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	121
SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	122
Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	122
Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.	122
The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	123
The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.	123

Closed Cases

Amegy Bank Nat'l Assoc. v. State of Texas, et al.	125
Basram Y. Faris v. Combs, et al.	125
BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.	125
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	126
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Burns & McDonnell, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	128
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	129
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	129
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	130
Garriott, Robert v. Combs, et al.	130
Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.	131
Intrepid Directional Drilling Specialists, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	132
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
Liaison Resources LP v. Combs, et al.	133
Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	134
Precision Recovery Analytics, Inc. fka Collins Financial Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	134
Renewable Energy Systems v. Combs, et al.	134
Sunbelt Custom Mineral, LLC v. Combs, et al.	135
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	136
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	136
Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs	137
Texas Health Harris Methodist Hospital Alliance v. Combs, et al.	137
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.	138
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	139
Warren Transportation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	139
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141

Winstead, PC v. Combs, et al.	142
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
Index	145

Table of Cases

35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.	111
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	35
816 Charter, LLC v. Combs, et al.	36
A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.	111
Abercrombie & Fitch, Co. v. Combs, et al.	1
Acetylene Oxygen Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	36
Advance Hydrocarbon Corp. v. Combs, et al.	1
Agri-Plex Heating & Cooling, LLC v. Combs, et al.	37
AK Steel Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.	2
Alfred F. Mares v. Combs	37
Allcat Claims Service, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	2
Allstate Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.	38
Al-Noor Impex Corporation and Azim Bhaiwala v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs	38
Alstom Power, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	39
Amegy Bank Nat'l Assoc. v. State of Texas, et al.	125
American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	3
American National Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	107
American National Life Insurance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.	107
Amphenol Corporation and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	4
AN Dealership Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.	4
Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.	39
Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.	40
Argonaut Insurance Company and Argonaut Great Central v. Combs, et al.	108
Arnold Oil Company of Austin, LP v. Combs, et al.	40
Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	41
Autohaus, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	5
Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.	42
Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	111
BAH Texas, LLC v. Combs, et al.	42
BASA Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	5
Basic Energy Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	6
Basram Y. Faris v. Combs, et al.	125
Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	112
Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	113
Bhaiwala Corporation, et al. v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs	43

BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.	125
Big Mountain Airway, LLC v. Combs, et al.	6
BJ400XP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	43
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	126
Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Blue Moon Detective Agency, LLC v. Combs, et al.	44
Blum Investment Group, Inc. dba ProCare Software v. Combs, et al.	44
BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	45
Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	127
Brown-Forman Corp. and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	7
BTA Oil Producers, LLC v. Combs, et al.	46
Buckhorn Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	46
Budget Prepay, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	47
Burns & McDonnell, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	128
C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	47
Caledon Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	47
Caliber Holdings Corp. v. Combs, et al.	7
Cantu Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.	48
Captain Hook-Austin, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	48
Carl Owens Paint & Body, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
Catalino A. Pajo v. Combs, et al.	49
CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	128
Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.	129
CGG Veritas Services (U.S.) Inc. v. Combs, et al.	8
Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.	49
Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.	50
Chevron U.S.A. Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	9
Chico's FAS, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	9
Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	129
Cirrus Exploration Company v. Combs, et al.	50
City of Dickinson v. Combs	51
City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn	51
CJN Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	53
Club Hat Tricks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	53
Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.	113
Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54
Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	54
Cook Medical LLC Successor in Interest to Cook Medical Incorporated v. Combs, et al.	10
Courthouse Direct.com v. Combs, et al.	55
Crowson Litigation Settlement Trust v. Combs, et al.	55
D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	114
Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.	56
Deer Run/Bassword, LLC, et al. v. Combs, et al.	10
Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector	130
Dish Network, LLC v. Combs, et al.	11
Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	57
Dolan Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.	58
Doubletree DTWC Corp. v. Combs, et al. (Formerly Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.)	59
DSW Shoe Warehouse, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
EBIX, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	60
Efrem T. Tesfay v. Combs, et al.	61
El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.	115
Elie Sadik Haddad v. Combs, et al.	61
EMC Corp. v. Combs, et al.	62
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs	62
Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	63
Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	115
EOG Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	63
ER Gaston, Ltd. dba The Elbow Room v. Combs	116
Evo Inc. v. Combs	64
EWC Aviation Corp. v. Combs, et al.	64
Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	11
Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.	12
Expo Windows Fashion, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	65
Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Combs, et al.	12
ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.	65
Fair Isaac Corp. v. Combs, et al.	65
Fencecrete America Manufacturing, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	66
Fidelity National Title Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.	108
First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	66
FTS Aero, LLC v. Combs, et al.	67
FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.	116
Garriott, Robert v. Combs, et al.	130
GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.	67
Gillette Commercial Operations North America, Inc. and Subsidiaries	13
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	68
Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.	68
Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.	117
Good Mourning Tree Co., Inc. and Sidney Francis Mourning v. Combs, et al.	68
Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.	69

Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.	69
Graphic Packaging Corp. v. Combs, et al.	13
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	70
Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	70
Grocers Supply Institutional Convenience Inc. v. Combs, et al.	71
Grocers Supply Produce Co. v. Combs, et al.	71
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	71
Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	72
Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	72
GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	73
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Combs	14
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.	14
Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.	15
H.J. Heinz Company and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.	15
H.K. Global Trading, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	73
Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.	16
Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.	16
Hasbro, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17
Health Care Service Corp. v. Combs, et al.	74
Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.	131
Health Care Service Corporation, A Mutual Legal Reserve Company, Successor to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	74
Health Care Services, Corp. v. Combs	75
High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.	75
Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	76
Hotel Paso Del Norte, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	76
I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	117
Imperial Fire and Casualty Company v. Combs, et al.	109
Intrado, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	77
Intrepid Directional Drilling Specialists, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	132
Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.	117
I-Tel, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	17
J.E. Dunn Construction Co. v. Combs, et al.	77
Jawa Fuel, Inc. and Saif Ullah v. Combs, et al.	78
John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.	118
Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	118
KCK Utility Construction, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	119
Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	78
Kenneth O. Lester, Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	78
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	18
Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	19
Krishna Bhavana, LLC and Krishna Kothari v. Combs, et al.	79

Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.	79
KST Electric, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	80
La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.	132
Leoncito Plant, L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.	80
LH Air, LLC v. Combs, et al.	81
Liaison Resources LP v. Combs, et al.	133
Linda S. Jones dba Johnny's Package Store and also dba Johnny's v. Combs, et al.	81
Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	134
Loyd House Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	82
Makara Enterprise, LP v. Combs, et al.	82
Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.	119
Marlin Leasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.	83
Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.	83
Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.	84
MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	119
Medtronic, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	19
Michael Johnson v. Combs, et al.	84
Michelin Corp. v. Combs, et al.	20
Minh Tran Hammond v. Combs, et al.	120
Modern Furniture, Inc. and Thanh Thuan Ly v. Combs, et al.	85
Nestle USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	20
Newpark Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	21
Nextera Energy Power Marketing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	22
Nike USA, Inc. v. Combs	22
North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	120
NTS Communications, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	23
OA, LLC v. Combs, et al.	85
Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	86
PEK, Inc. dba Serviceline Transport v. Combs, et al.	23
Pointsmith Point of Purchase Management Services, LP v. Combs, et al.	86
Precision Recovery Analytics, Inc. fka Collins Financial Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	134
Rackspace US Inc. v. Combs, et al.	87
Renewable Energy Systems v. Combs, et al.	134
Rent-A-Center, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Restaurants Acquisition I, LLC v. Combs, et al.	87
Richmont Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	88
Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.	89
Roberts Ranch and Investments, LLC v. Combs, et al.	89
Root's Rocks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	90
RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.	121
Ryan, LLC v. Combs	90

Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.	91
Sanadco, Inc. and Mahmoud A. Isba aka Moumoud Ahmed Abuisba aka Mike Isba v. Combs, et al.	91
Satellite Transportation Services, LLC v. Combs, et al.	92
Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	121
SBC Aviation Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	92
Seltex, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	24
Service King Paint & Body, LLC as Successor to Alamo Body & Paint, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	25
Shehzad Dhanani v. Combs, et al.	93
Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.	26
Silgan Containers Manufacturing Corp. and Silgan Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	26
Silicon Laboratories, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	93
Softlayer Technologies, Inc. fka The Planet.com Internet Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	94
Southwest Airlines, Co. v. Combs, et al.	94
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	95
Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	95
SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.	122
Standard Life and Accident Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.	109
Statewide Materials Transport, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	27
Store Display Fixtures, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	96
Sunbelt Custom Mineral, LLC v. Combs, et al.	135
Sunstate Equipment Co., L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.	27
Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	135
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.	136
Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.	136
Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs	137
Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.	96
Tecpetrol Operating, LLC v. Combs, et al.	97
Tempur Sealy International, Inc. and Subsidiaries (formerly known as Tempu-Pedic International and Subsidiaries) v. Combs, et al.	28
Texas Autocrafters, LP v. Combs, et al.	28
Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.	122
Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.	98
Texas Health Harris Methodist Hospital Alliance v. Combs, et al.	137
Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Denton v. Combs, et al.	98
Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.	122
The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.	123
The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.	123
Titan Transportation, LP v. Combs, et al.	29
TJ Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.	99

TLH Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	30
Toppan Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	99
Toro Rojo, Inc. and Casco Hauling and Excavating, Co. v. Combs, et al.	30
Total Safety, U.S., Inc. v. Combs, et al.	30
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	100
Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	138
Tres Palacios Gas Storage, LLC v. Combs, et al.	100
Trieagle Energy, LP v. Combs, et al.	101
TTX Company v. Combs, et al.	31
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	31
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	32
U.S. Food Service, Inc. f/k/a White Swan, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	101
U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.	101
U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	102
United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.	138
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	102
Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.	103
Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	33
W. Robert Brown v. Combs, et al.	104
Walton Enterprises, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.	104
Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.	139
Warren Transportation, LLC v. Combs, et al.	139
Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	140
Weatherization Management Group, LLC, In re	105
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141
White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.	141
Winstead, PC v. Combs, et al.	142
Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.	142
Zook Inc. v. Combs, et al.	105

Franchise Tax

Abercrombie & Fitch, Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004243 AG Case #: 143474724

Filed: 12/18/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$549,633.59	Report Years 2008-2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Hagenswold, R. Eric	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Advance Hydrocarbon Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002204 AG Case #: 133433938

Filed: 7/1/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$82,592.00	Report Year 2013

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.	
Bennett, Stacie L.	

Issue: Whether certain expenses attributed to servicing hydraulic fracturing operations are eligible for the COGS deduction.

Status: Discovery in progress.

AK Steel Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000024 AG Case #: 143483253

Filed: 1/3/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$58,803.70	Report Years 2011 and 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Allcat Claims Service, L.P. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002294 AG Case #: 113283048

Filed: 7/29/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$96,039.00	01/01/2008 through 12/31/2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Seay, Michael B.	
Traphagan, Amanda M.	
Leonard, Lacy L.	

Issue: Whether certain payments made by Plaintiff to subcontractors should be excluded from total revenue. Whether certain payments made by Plaintiff to subcontractors should be included in COGS. Whether the Comptroller's application of §171.1011(g)(3) and §171.1012 violates the Equal Protection clause. Whether imposition of the tax on a limited partnership violates the Bullock Amendment.

Status: Abated pending final resolution of Newpark Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al. and Titan Transportation, LP v. Combs, et al.

American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003835 AG Case #: 123382749

Filed: 12/10/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$942,024.27	Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.	

Issue: Whether expenditures for licensing fees associated with displaying motion pictures, as well as other expenses associated with the commercial display of a motion picture, are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether the licensing fees qualify for an exclusion from revenue as flow-through funds under §171.1011. Plaintiff also requests waiver of penalty.

Status: Case consolidated into American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al., Cause No. D-1-GN-12-003831, 01/04/13.

American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003831 AG Case #: 123382756
#03-14-00397-CV

Filed: 12/5/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$797,389.18	Report Year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.	
Bryant, Kendall	

Issue: Whether expenditures for licensing fees associated with displaying motion pictures, as well as other expenses associated with the commercial display of a motion picture, are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether the licensing fees qualify for an exclusion from revenue as flow-through funds under §171.1011.

Status: Case consolidated with American Multi-Cinema, Inc. v. Combs, et al., Cause No. D-1-GN-12-003835, 01/04/13. Phase one of bifurcated trial held 09/16/13. Judgment that exhibition costs could be included in the COGS deduction. Phase two of trial held 03/02/14. Judgment that the Comptroller's calculation of exhibition costs was correct. Final Judgment entered for Plaintiff on 05/16/14.
Notice of Appeal filed 06/25/14.

Amphenol Corporation and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003471 AG Case #: 133457325

Filed: 10/4/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$558,641.00	Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.	DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

AN Dealership Holding Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003213 AG Case #: 133452326

Filed: 9/12/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$279,461.00	Report Years 2008 - 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.
Leonard, Lacy L.
Bennett, Stacie L.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Autohaus, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000989 AG Case #: 133406611

Filed: 3/22/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,227.09	Report Year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Colmenero, David E.	Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch & Ungerman, LLP / Dallas
---------------------	---

Issue: Whether labor costs associated with automobile repair are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Whether expenditures for automobile repair services are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney fees.

Status: Hearing on Cross Motion for Summary Judgment held 07/09/14. Order granting Plaintiff's MSJ entered 7/22/14.

BASA Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001803 AG Case #: 123341166

Filed: 6/14/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$302,768.00	Report Years 2008 - 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.
Traphagan, Amanda M.
Leonard, Lacy L.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Issue: Whether funds transferred by the Plaintiff to a limited partnership, for which Plaintiff is the GP, are eligible to be excluded from total revenue as either: i) fiduciary funds per §171.1011(f) or ii) the tax basis of a security per §171.1011(g-2). Whether the amount of such funds transferred to the limited partnership are eligible for inclusion in the COGS deduction. Whether a partial interest in the net profits to be generated from an oil lease constitute real property.

Status: Trial previously set for 06/24/13 has been passed.

Basic Energy Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003101 AG Case #: 113295083

Filed: 10/6/2011

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,921,913.94 Report year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Colmenero, David E.

Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch &
Ungerman, LLP / Dallas

Freeman, Jason

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's election to utilize the compensation deduction disqualifies Plaintiff from filing an amended report for the same year claiming the COGS deduction. Whether detrimental reliance would require the Comptroller to accept a change in Plaintiff's election of deduction.

Status: Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment held 04/15/14. Order Denying Plaintiff's MSJ entered 05/14/14.

Big Mountain Airway, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001281 AG Case #: 143506046

Filed: 5/1/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$61,651.43 Tax period from 2010 through 2013

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Leffman, David L.

Gordon, Davis, Johnson & Shane, P.C. / El Paso

Issue: Whether stops in Texas mandated by federal law constitute sufficient business accounting in Texas for franchise tax liability. Equal & Uniform Challenges. UDJA/Attorney fees

Status: Answer and PTJ filed 06/05/14.

Brown-Forman Corp. and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0001902

AG Case #: 143517522

Filed: 6/17/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund, Def. Statutes/Constitution

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$318,833.00 Report Year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.

DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer can elect the 3-factor apportionment under the Multistate Tax Compact.

Status: Answer filed.

Caliber Holdings Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000153 AG Case #: 143479285

Filed: 1/15/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,026,092.31 Report Years 2008-2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Taylor, III, Jasper G.

Fulbright & Jaworski / Houston

Chadha, Jayash M.

Issue: Whether labor costs associated with automobile repair are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Whether other expenditures for automobile repair services are eligible for inclusion in COGS.

Status: Citation issued.

Carl Owens Paint & Body, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0002009

AG Case #: 143517423

Filed: 6/25/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest, Def. statutes/constitution, Decl. Judg.

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$120,827.00 Report Years 2009, 2010, 2011, and 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Ryman, Shannon

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Leonard, Lacy L.

Ahlrich, Danielle V.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, auto repair/part shops, can deduct cost of goods sold for its franchise tax. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a .5% rate, for engaging in retail trade. Whether CPA's interpretation of tax code violates due process/equal & uniform taxation. Whether CPA's rule is invalid under APA (2001.038 rule challenge)(note: subject to Travis County Local Rule 10)

Status: Answer filed.

CGG Veritas Services (U.S.) Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001316 AG Case #: 123331670

Filed: 5/2/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,483,232.96 Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether the costs incurred in providing seismic data are eligible to be included in COGS.

Status: Phase one of trial held 02/18/14. Court held for Plaintiff. Phase two pending.

Chevron U.S.A. Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001682 AG Case #: 123338279

Filed: 6/5/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,335,207.54	Report Years 1994 - 1996

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is eligible, under former §171.0021, for a franchise tax deduction based on sales and use tax paid on the purchase of equipment used for manufacturing.

Status: Answer filed.

Chico's FAS, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0001893

AG Case #: 143517415

Filed: 6/18/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund, Def. Statutes/Constitution

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$409,845.91	Report Years 2009, 2010, 2011 and 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.
Ahlrich, Danielle V.

Issue: Whether taxpayer may elect the three-factor apportionment under the Multistate Tax Compact.

Status: Answer filed.

Cook Medical LLC Successor in Interest to Cook Medical Incorporated v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-002548 AG Case #: 143525301

Filed: 7/28/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund, Def. Statutes/Constitution

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$108,789.00	January 1, 2009 to December 31, 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.
Ahlrich, Danielle V.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the 3-factor formula for apportionment claim in Art. IV, Sec. 9. (MTC)

Status: Citation issued.

Deer Run/Bassword, LLC, et al. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001799 AG Case #: 123342669

Filed: 6/14/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$287,726.18	Report Years 2008-2010 & 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Nolan, Peter A.

Winstead PC / Austin

Issue: Whether a group of affiliated entities are engaged in a unitary business. Whether certain entities within the affiliated group are passive entities under §171.0003.

Status: Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment will be re-set. Discovery in progress.

Dish Network, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002284 AG Case #: 133436790

Filed: 7/8/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$6,857,605.37 Report Years 2004-2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether revenue generated from home satellite television subscriptions should be sourced to the location of the subscriber for apportionment purposes.

Status: Trial previously scheduled for 05/12/14 has been passed.

Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000990 AG Case #: 133406595

Filed: 3/22/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$25,997.98 Report Year 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Colmenero, David E.

Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch &
Ungerman, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Whether labor costs associated with automobile repair are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Whether expenditures for automobile repair services are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Ewing Buick, LP, LLP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000994 AG Case #: 133406603

Filed: 3/22/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$27,900.95 Report Year 2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Colmenero, David E.

Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch &
Ungerman, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Whether labor costs associated with automobile repair are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Whether expenditures for automobile repair services are eligible for inclusion in COGS. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney fees.

Status: Answer filed.

Exxon Mobil Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-004036 AG Case #: 103237442

Filed: 11/16/2010

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$16,347,707.00 Report Years 2002 - 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H.

Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the taxpayer is entitled to investment tax credits under subchapter Q (now repealed) of Chapter 171. Whether the statute requires a 90-day "look-back" period for qualifying events.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/18/14.

Gillette Commercial Operations North America, Inc. and Subsidiaries

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001411 AG Case #: 143506574

Filed: 5/12/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund, Def. Statutes/Constitution

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$42,406,401.00 Tax period from 2009 through 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.

DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether single factor apportionment in the Texas Tax Code violates multi-state tax compact. Whether Gillette is entitled to refund of \$42M.

Status: Answer filed.

Graphic Packaging Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003038 AG Case #: 123370199
#03-14-00197-CV

Filed: 9/27/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,019,122.00 Report Years 2008-2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Traphagan, Amanda M.
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001. Whether the single-factor apportionment formula is violative of the Due Process Clause and the Commerce Clause. Plaintiff further alleges that a determination of a taxable entity's status as a retailer based on activity occurring outside of Texas is violative of the Commerce Clause, the Due Process Clause, and the Equal and Uniform Clause. Plaintiff further alleges that the Comptroller abused her discretion in failing to waive penalty and interest.

Status: Hearing on Cross Motions for Summary Judgment held on 12/19/13. Order granting Defendants' motion and denying Plaintiff's motion entered 01/15/14.

Notice of Appeal filed 04/02/14. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 06/26/14. Appellant's Motion to Appear Pro Hac Vice filed 07/17/14; granted 07/21/14. Appellant's second Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 08/01/14; granted 08/04/14. Appellant's brief due 09/12/14.

Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003174 AG Case #: 113296925
#03-12-00772-CV

Filed: 10/13/2011

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,357,920.00	Report years 2005-2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether a payment/credit from the Plaintiff to Plaintiff's customer should be netted against gross receipts for apportionment purposes.

Status: Trial held 10/11/12. Final Judgment in favor of Defendants issued 10/16/12. Notice of Appeal filed 11/26/12. Appellant's Brief filed 04/29/13. Appellee's Brief filed 08/30/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 10/03/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 11/06/13.

Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corp. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002313 AG Case #: 082518937

Filed: 7/2/2008

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$262,066.00	2001 through 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether tax credits were properly applied. Whether gross receipts were properly determined for fee/credit transactions. Whether the officer add-back provisions of the franchise tax are unconstitutional. Whether penalty should be waived.

Status: Order granting Motion to Consolidate into Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al, Cause #D-1-GN-06-004636, entered 09/22/09. Agreed Judgment entered 05/25/12.

Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004636 AG Case #: 062430582

Filed: 12/15/2006

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$245,571.02	1997 - 2000

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------------

Issue: How should processing fees and metals credit be calculated for franchise tax apportionment purposes. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a refund resulting from the elimination of the addback for officer and director compensation.

Status: Order granting Motion to Consolidate with Gulf Chemical & Metallurgical Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al, Cause #D-1-GN-08-002313, entered 09/22/09. Hearing on Plaintiff's MSJ held on 12/05/11. Plaintiff's motion denied in part and granted in part. Agreed Judgment entered 05/25/12.

H.J. Heinz Company and Subsidiaries v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004033 AG Case #: 133469700

Filed: 11/26/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$359,202.00	Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.	DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-001168 AG Case #: 133411850
#13-14-00093-CV

Filed: 4/8/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$211,974.96	Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.	

Issue: Whether gains & losses from the sale of capital assets should be included in determining §171.105 gross receipts for apportionment.

Status: Hearing on Cross MSJ's held on 10/17/13. Defendants' motion granted 12/04/13. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 12/19/13. Case transferred to the 13th COA's on 02/06/14. Appellant's Brief filed 03/14/14. Appellee's Brief filed 04/15/14. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 05/27/14.

Hallmark Marketing Company, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000650 AG Case #: 143491298

Filed: 3/3/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$171,310.43	Report Year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether gains & losses from the sale of capital assets should be included in determining \$171.105 gross receipts.

Status: Answer filed.

Hasbro, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002873 AG Case #: 133445726

Filed: 8/20/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$608,404.00	Report Years 2008-2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Moore, Steven D.	Jackson Walker, L.L.P / Austin
------------------	--------------------------------

Nogid, Amy F.	Morrison & Foerster, LLP / New York, NY
---------------	---

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

I-Tel, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003013 AG Case #: 133447797

Filed: 8/28/2013

Franchise Tax; Injunctive Relief, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$315,133.35 Report Years 2008-2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grissom, Donald H. Grissom & Thompson / Austin
Thompson, William W.

Issue: Whether certain expenses associated with providing pre-paid telephone services are eligible for the COGS deduction. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003396 AG Case #: 123377384

Filed: 10/29/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$244,836.00 Report Years 2008-2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001. Whether application of the single-factor apportionment formula to Plaintiff is violative of the Due Process Clause and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003866 AG Case #: 123382772

Filed: 12/10/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$62,420.00 Report Year 2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001. Whether application of the single-factor apportionment formula is violative of the Due Process Clause and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Kimball Office, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000405 AG Case #: 143486561

Filed: 2/10/2014

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$82,139.00 Report Year 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.
Bennett, Stacie L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001. Whether application of the single-factor apportionment formula to Plaintiff is violative of the Due Process Clause and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Medtronic, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002807 AG Case #: 133444653

Filed: 8/14/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$4,859,972.00 Report Years 2008-2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Albaral, Robert H. Baker & McKenzie, LLP / Dallas
Long, Stephen W.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001. Whether the single-factor apportionment formula is violative of the Due Process Clause, the Commerce Clause, and the Contracts Clause.

Status: Answer filed.

Michelin Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004071 AG Case #: 133470955

Filed: 11/27/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$1,016,052.53 Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hintzen, Erich H. Miller, Canfield, Paddock and Stone, PLC / Troy,
MI

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Nestle USA, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001818 AG Case #: 123341539

Filed: 6/15/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$8,682,998.99 Report Year 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Nolan, Peter A. Winstead PC / Austin
Rabb, Jennifer Patterson

Issue: Whether the two-tiered tax rate and the statutory deductions from total revenue cause the franchise tax to violate the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution and the Equal & Uniform Clause of the Texas Constitution. Plaintiff further alleges that a determination of a taxable entity's status as a retailer based in part on activity occurring outside of Texas causes the franchise tax to be violative of the Due Process and Commerce clauses of the U.S. Constitution.

Status: Case abated pending resolution of In re: Nestle USA, Inc., Cause No. 12-0518.

Newpark Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002205 AG Case #: 113280895
#03-12-00515-CV

Filed: 7/21/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$472,872.00 Report year 2008 and Report year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether certain expenditures attributed to environmental disposal and reclamation services are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether Plaintiff properly calculated its indirect cost limitation under §171.1012(f). Whether certain payments to subcontractors should be excluded from revenue.

Status: Bench trial held on 05/21-22/12. Judgment for Plaintiff entered 07/02/12. Notice of

Appeal filed 08/01/12. Appellant's brief filed 11/20/12. Appellee's Brief filed 02/28/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/04/13. Appellant's Motion to File Amended Brief filed 04/05/13; granted 04/10/13. Appellant's Amended Reply Brief filed 04/10/13. Appellee's Motion to Withdraw Attorney filed 04/17/13; granted 04/26/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 09/11/13. Appellant's Post-submission Brief filed 09/16/13. Appellee's Post-submission Brief filed 09/20/13. Appellant's Post-submission Brief filed 09/24/13. Opinion issued 12/31/13, affirming the trial court's judgment. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 01/16/14; overruled 02/04/14.

Nextera Energy Power Marketing, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001372 AG Case #: 123334237

Filed: 5/7/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,840,454.22	2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H.	Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether certain expenses attributed to the generation and transmission of electricity are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether Plaintiff properly calculated the amount of its business loss carry forward credit. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to a revenue exclusion for management fee income and interest income.

Status: The district court case is being abated to allow a related administrative proceeding to conclude.

Nike USA, Inc. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000373 AG Case #: 123316226

Filed: 2/9/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund and APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,456,810.72	Report Years 2004-2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.
Lyda, Kirk
Hepworth, Justin

Jones Day / Dallas

Issue: Whether certain sales should be apportioned to Texas, if, as alleged by Plaintiff, Plaintiff's customer took delivery outside of Texas. Whether the Comptroller's application of the apportionment statute violates the Commerce, Due Process, and Equal Protection clauses of the U.S. Constitution. Whether Comptroller Rule 3.557 exceeds the scope of the Tax Code.

Status: Answer filed.

NTS Communications, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000168 AG Case #: 133391029

Filed: 1/14/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$156,930.99	Report Year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Colmenero, David E.	Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch & Ungerman, LLP / Dallas
Beck, Stephen A.	

Issue: Whether the cost of electricity and other costs associated with providing telecom services are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether the Comptroller's application of the COGS statute violates the Equal and Uniform Clause of the Texas Constitution and the Equal Protection and Due Process clauses of the U.S. Constitution. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

PEK, Inc. dba Serviceline Transport v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003539 AG Case #: 113301493

Filed: 11/16/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest, Injunctive Relief & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,315.81	Report years 2008-2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether certain expenses are eligible to be excluded from Plaintiff's revenue as subcontracting payments per §171.011(g)(3).

Whether Plaintiff prospectively qualifies as a courier and logistics company.

Plaintiff also asserts an Equal Protection challenge.

Status: Trial previously set for 09/24/12 has been passed.

Rent-A-Center, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001059 AG Case #: 113260954

Filed: 4/11/2011

#03-13-00101-CV

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,162,191.64 Report year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Butcher, Daniel L. Strasburger & Price / Dallas
Katz, Farley P. Strasburger & Price / San Antonio

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's rent-to-own business qualifies for the 0.5% rate under §171.002(b).

Whether Plaintiff qualifies for the cost of goods sold deduction.

Plaintiff also raises an equal protection clause challenge to: i) the tax rate, and ii) the cost of goods sold deduction.

Status: Trial held on 12/10/12. Letter Ruling-finding for Defendants on 12/19/12. Judgment entered 01/18/13. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 02/14/13. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 06/05/13; granted 06/07/13. Appellant's Brief filed 07/18/13. Appellee's Brief filed 10/03/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 10/23/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 01/29/14.

Seltex, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001582 AG Case #: 123333692

Filed: 5/24/2012

Franchise Tax; Injunction, Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$15,000.00 Report Years 2008 - 2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether certain expenses are eligible to be excluded from Plaintiff's revenue as either: i) fiduciary funds per §171.1011(f) or ii) subcontracting payments per §171.1011(g)(3). Whether Plaintiff is eligible to claim the COGS deduction for its payments to drivers and for truck repairs. Whether Plaintiff prospectively qualifies as a courier and logistics company. Plaintiff also asserts claims under the Equal Protection Clause and the Equal and Uniform Clause. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and injunctive relief.

Status: Hearing on inability to pay previously set for 07/31/12 has been passed.

Service King Paint & Body, LLC as Successor to Alamo Body & Paint, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003039 AG Case #: 113293583

Filed: 9/30/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$40,012.00 Report year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff qualifies for the 0.5% rate under §171.002(b).

Whether revenue from the sale of installed auto parts should be classified as service revenue.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 05/15/14.

Shell Trading Services Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003859 AG Case #: 093163046

Filed: 11/9/2009

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,416,829.00	2002-2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Schmauch, Jason M.	Houston
Lowy, Peter A.	

Masters, Paul H.	Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Martin / Houston
------------------	--

Grimsinger, William O.	
Vasquez, Jr., Juan	

Issue: Whether payments made to certain individuals were payments subject to the officer and director add back provision, notwithstanding taxpayer's contention that it was reimbursed for such salary payments by a third party.

Status: Answer filed.

Silgan Containers Manufacturing Corp. and Silgan Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003732 AG Case #: 133463000

Filed: 10/30/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$99,420.00	Report Years 2009 - 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.
Leonard, Lacy L.
Bennett, Stacie L.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Statewide Materials Transport, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003920 AG Case #: 133384917

Filed: 12/13/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$161,445.00	Report Year 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.	

Issue: Whether certain expenses are eligible to be excluded from total revenue as pass-through funds under either §171.1011(f) or §171.1011(g)(3). Whether Plaintiff complied with the 34 TAC 3.585(c)(3) requirements to be eligible for an extended filing date. Plaintiff also seeks discretionary waiver of penalty and interest.

Status: Answer filed.

Sunstate Equipment Co., L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000281 AG Case #: 143481125

Filed: 1/29/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$141,188.83	Report Years 2008 and 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Perkins, Arthur Val
Craig, Allen
Vane, Mark

Gardere Wynne Sewell LLP / Houston

Issue: Whether certain expenditures for the transportation of heavy equipment are eligible for the COGS deduction.

Status: Answer filed.

Tempur Sealy International, Inc. and Subsidiaries (formerly known as Tempu-Pedic International and Subsidiaries) v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004036 AG Case #: 133469718

Filed: 11/26/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$682,371.00	Report Years 2009-2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.	DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to utilize the three-factor apportionment formula described by Art. IV, Sec. 9, of §141.001.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Autocrafters, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0001710

AG Case #: 143512986

Filed: 6/5/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
	Report Year 2014

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Ryman, Shannon	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.
Leonard, Lacy L.
Ahlrich, Danielle V.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Issue: Whether labor costs associated with automobile repair are eligible for the use in COGS.
Whether tax treatment violates Plaintiff's right to equal & uniform taxation. UDJA Claim.
Whether Comptroller's mixed services rule is invalid.

Status: Answer filed.

Titan Transportation, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002866 AG Case #: 113291926
#03-13-00034-CV
#14-0307

Filed: 9/15/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$88,461.00	Report year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Traphagan, Amanda M.

Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether certain expenses are eligible to be excluded from Plaintiff's revenue as subcontracting payments per §171.1011(g)(3).
Whether Plaintiff's election to file an EZ Report disqualifies Plaintiff from subsequently claiming the COGS deduction for the same report year. Whether Plaintiff prospectively qualifies as a courier and logistics company.

Status: Final Judgment in favor of the Comptroller entered 10/31/12. Notice of Appeal filed 01/11/13. Appellant's Brief filed 06/03/13. Appellee's Brief filed 08/02/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/03/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 10/09/13. Opinion issued 03/14/14, reversing the district court's judgment in favor of the Comptroller and rendering judgment for Plaintiff.

Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court on 05/28/14. Response to Petition for Review waived by Respondent 06/09/14. Court requested response 07/11/14. Response due 08/11/14.

TLH Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-002768 AG Case #: 103213674

Filed: 8/6/2010

Franchise Tax; Protest, UDJA, APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$70,339.50 Report year 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Skaggs, Jack Ernest

Jackson Walker, L.L.P / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's rent-to-own business qualifies for the 0.5% rate under §171.002(b) and §171.0001(12).

Status: Discovery in progress.

Toro Rojo, Inc. and Casco Hauling and Excavating, Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002427 AG Case #: 133440073

Filed: 7/18/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$30,759.54 Report Years 2008 and 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Melasky, David H.

Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the COGS deduction for expenses associated with operating a landfill. Plaintiff also asserts a Due Process Clause violation.

Status: Answer filed.

Total Safety, U.S., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000762 AG Case #: 143491348

Filed: 3/12/2014

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$539,528.27 Report Years 2008 and 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Butcher, Daniel L. Strasburger & Price / Dallas

Katz, Farley P. Strasburger & Price / San Antonio

Seger III, Forrest M. "Teo"

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's expenses associated with providing industrial safety services to its customers are eligible for the COGS deduction. Whether Plaintiff's payments made to subcontractors may be excluded from total revenue under §171.1011(g)(3).

Status: Answer filed.

TTX Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002762 AG Case #: 113288161

Filed: 9/6/2011

Franchise Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$5,194.89 Report year 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Wahby, Peter S. Greenberg Traurig, LLP / Dallas

Novakov, Daniel P.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's Notice of Preservation of Temporary Credit was timely submitted.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 10/21/13.

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001346 AG Case #: 123334229

Filed: 5/3/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$929,117.00	Report Years 2002-2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to investment tax credits under Subchapter Q (now repealed) of Chapter 171.

Status: Case consolidated with Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-12-002943) and Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-13-000928).

Agreed Judgment entered 07/08/14.

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002943 AG Case #: 123365033

Filed: 9/20/2012

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$121,776.00	Report Year 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to investment tax credits under Subchapter Q (now repealed) of Chapter 171.

Status: Case consolidated into Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-12-001346)

Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000928 AG Case #: 133404939

Filed: 3/15/2013

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$209,259.00	Report Year 2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to investment tax credits under Subchapter Q (now repealed) of Chapter 171.

Status: Case consolidated into Tyson Fresh Meats, Inc. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-12-001346)

Viacom International, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN402433

AG Case #: 041999269

Filed: 7/30/2004

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$754,178.16	1997 - 1999

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.	Jones Day / Dallas
Lyda, Kirk	

Issue: Whether revenue received from third-party cable television system operators is revenue earned from licensing or from the service of producing, creating, editing, packaging and transmitting 24-hour-per-day network programming performed out-of-state. Should revenue from providing these services be considered Texas receipts for franchise tax purposes. Plaintiff also claims violation of Due Process and the Commerce Clause.

Status: Order Granting Motion to Retain entered 01/08/08.

Sales Tax

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN403369

AG Case #: 042046367

Filed: 10/8/2004

#03-08-00212-CV

#10-0509

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$299,328.98 04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Seaquist, Gunnar

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether the purchase of bookkeeping software installed on computers located out-of-state and subsequently shipped to stores in-state qualifies for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Hearing on cross-motions for summary judgment and defendants' plea to the jurisdiction held 02/05/08. Judgment granted for the State on 03/24/08. Plaintiff filed Notice of Appeal 04/07/08. Clerk's Record filed 06/19/08. Appellant's brief filed 07/21/08. Appellees' brief filed 08/20/08. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/16/08; accepted for oral argument. Appellant's Motion to Postpone Oral Argument filed 01/12/09. Submission cancelled 01/13/09. Submitted on oral argument on 04/08/09. Opinion issued 08/31/09, reversing the summary judgment in favor of the State, rendering judgment that 7-Eleven is entitled to a partial sales-tax refund with respect to the software that it transferred to its franchise stores, and remanding to the trial court the portion of the cause pertaining to software that was delivered to its out-of-state company stores. The State filed a Motion for Rehearing on 10/06/09 and re-filed its Motion for Rehearing on 11/02/09. Response requested 11/18/09. Appellant's Response to the Motion for Rehearing filed 12/03/09. Substitute Opinion issued 04/22/10, reversing the Court of Appeals' 08/31/09 decision, remanding both issues to the trial court. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 05/07/10; overruled 05/19/10. Petition for Review filed in the Texas Supreme Court on 07/02/10; denied 09/08/10. Mandate issued 10/22/10.

7-Eleven, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002424 AG Case #: 062380290

Filed: 6/30/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$615,638.45	04/01/93 - 09/30/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Seaquist, Gunnar	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Langenberg, Ray	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
------------------------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff purchased non-taxable programming services rather than taxable software.

Status: Hearing on Defendant's Motion to Consolidate held and denied on 01/05/11. Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Summary Judgment held on 01/19/11. Order denying Plaintiff's MSJ signed 02/08/12.

816 Charter, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001013 AG Case #: 123326902

Filed: 4/5/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$966,792.61	01/01/04 through 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Grimsinger, William O.	Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Martin / Houston
------------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was exempt as a sale for resale.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Acetylene Oxygen Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001541 AG Case #: 143511020

Filed: 5/23/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$191,828.22	January 1, 2006 through September 30, 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
Ryman, Shannon	

Opposing Counsel

Mann, Jason R.	Jason R. Mann, Attorney at Law / Harlingen
----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the manufacturing exemption for its sales of liquid gas & chlorine.

Status: Answer filed.

Agri-Plex Heating & Cooling, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000545 AG Case #: 123318909

Filed: 2/23/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$53,800.00	04/01/03 - 08/24/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Millican, Paul H.	Gossett, Harrison, Millican & Stipanovic, P.C. / San Angelo
-------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax as a successor when the assessment was made after Plaintiff purchased business.

Status: Answer, PTJ and Motion to Dismiss filed.

Alfred F. Mares v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000216 AG Case #: 113293989

Filed: 9/30/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Lien challenge; UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$8,000.00	10/01/04 through 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Manriquez, Audrey E. San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to invalidate a tax lien. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Agreed Motion to Transfer Venue filed. Case transferred from Bexar County to Travis County. Trial previously set for 12/17/12 was passed by agreement. Defendant filed Motion to Dismiss for Lack of Jurisdiction on 11/29/12.

Allstate Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001299 AG Case #: 123331209
#03-13-00341-CV

Filed: 5/3/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$18,954,813.74 01/01/06 through 12/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether outsourced insurance adjusting services qualify as services performed by an employee of a temporary employment service under §151.057(2) and are thus exempt from sales tax.

Status: Case previously set for non-jury trial on 09/24/12 at 9:00 a.m. has been reset for 03/04/13. Comptroller's Motion to Quash deposition of Comptroller employee was granted. After trial on the merits, final judgment was entered on 04/08/13, ruling that Allstate should take nothing by way of its refund claims. Proposed findings and conclusions filed 04/26/13. Notice of Appeal filed 05/16/13. Appellant's Brief filed 09/19/13. Appellee's Brief filed 11/20/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 12/10/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 05/07/14.

Al-Noor Impex Corporation and Azim Bhaiwala v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001791 AG Case #: 113272736

Filed: 6/15/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
Aug. 1, 2006 - Jan. 31, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tresnicky, John M. The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock

Issue: Whether the audit method used by the Comptroller accurately reflects the business operations of Plaintiff's convenience store.

Whether officers and directors of Plaintiff may be held liable for the assessment.

Whether the prepayment requirements of Tax Code Ch. 112 violate the open courts doctrine.

Status: Non-suit with Prejudice filed 08/19/13.

Alstom Power, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000912 AG Case #: 133403238

Filed: 3/14/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$298,512.27 07/01/08 through 12/31/11

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether cranes used in a manufacturing facility are eligible for the manufacturing exemption under §151.318(a)(2). Whether the use of the cranes constitutes intraplant transportation.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/21/14.

Apache Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004344 AG Case #: 103170098

Filed: 12/21/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$7,080,790.79 Jan. 1, 1995 through Dec. 31, 2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff's refund suit raises multiple exemptions to the application of the sales and use tax to its operations. Claims include manufacturing exemptions, sale for resale, and services performed on exempt TPP.

Status: Trial set for 09/21/15.

Apache Corp. vs. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001989 AG Case #: 082513300

Filed: 6/6/2008

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$5,894,089.15 1/01/2003 through 06/30/2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's property qualifies for exemption under various provisions of section 151.318. Whether Plaintiff paid tax on non-taxable services. Whether some property was used for exempt environmental work. Whether sales prices were correctly determined.

Status: Trial set for 09/21/15.

Arnold Oil Company of Austin, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0001614

AG Case #: 143511046

Filed: 5/22/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$278,526.86	January 1, 2007 through March 21, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Co-Counsel

Ryman, Shannon	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Todd, Kelli H.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's sales of TPP and services are exempt from sales and use tax because they were sold to customers who are exempt or who were using the equipment for exempt purposes. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of TPP/services are subject to the sale-for-resale exemption. Whether CPA assessed tax that Plaintiff has already paid.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Austin Engineering Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000565 AG Case #: 072440159
#03-10-00323-CV
#12-0273

Filed: 2/23/2007

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$53,654.00	01/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Mondrik, Christina A.	Mondrik & Associates / Austin
-----------------------	-------------------------------

Issue: Whether fees that Plaintiff received for erosion control services, environmental construction services and utility construction services are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether services performed by Plaintiff to exempt entities are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether Plaintiff's transactions with its customers qualify as non-taxable or exempt services,

or included the sale of tangible personal property, thus making certain items taxable. Plaintiff claims the Comptroller erroneously assessed tax on purchases which were non-taxable or exempt, or on which the sales and use tax had already been paid. Plaintiff claims violation of equal protection, equal and uniform taxation, and the Commerce clause.

Status: Cross Motions for Summary Judgment heard on 04/27/10. Final Judgment entered 05/12/10, granting Defendants' Cross-Motion. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 06/07/10. Appellant's brief filed 10/04/10. Appellee's brief filed 12/16/10. Request for oral argument denied 12/23/10. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 01/05/11. Case submitted on briefs on 06/21/11. Memorandum Opinion issued 08/05/11, affirming that portion of the trial court's order denying Austin Engineering's motion for summary judgment, reversing that portion of the order granting summary judgment in favor of the Comptroller, and remanding for further proceedings. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 09/06/11; denied 03/08/12. Mandate issued 06/27/12, reversing the portion of the order granting summary judgment in favor of the Comptroller and remanding the cause for further proceedings. The remainder of the order is affirmed.

Awad, Mike v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003807 AG Case #: 062419668

Filed: 10/6/2006

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,853.60	07/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Roberts, William A.	The Roberts Law Firm / Dallas
Coleman, Kyle	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's business products are exempt as "sale for resale" items or taxable. Whether the Comptroller erred by misapplying burden of proof and whether the requirement is constitutional. Whether Tax Code §112.108 is constitutional. Plaintiff claims violation of due process, that all penalties and interest be waived, and attorneys' fees.

Status: Jurisdictional plea, motion to dismiss and counterclaim filed.

BAH Texas, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003433 AG Case #: 133456897

Filed: 10/1/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund, Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$341,382.00 01/01/06 through 07/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Mondrik, Christina A. Mondrik & Associates / Austin
Terbay, Michelle L.
Cotter, Ryan M.

Issue: Whether certain services purchased by Plaintiff were nontaxable as being management services. Whether certain services purchased by Plaintiff were exempt as intercorporate services. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of restaurant equipment and supplies is exempt under §151.318. Plaintiff also seeks waiver of penalty and interest. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Bhaiwala Corporation, et al. v. Gregg Abbott and Susan Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001788 AG Case #: 113272520

Filed: 6/15/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period
June 1, 2006 - Oct. 31, 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tresnicky, John M. The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock

Issue: Whether the audit method used by the Comptroller accurately reflects the business operations of Plaintiff's convenience store.
Whether officers and directors of Plaintiff may be held liable for the assessment.
Whether the prepayment requirements of Tax Code Ch. 112 violate the open courts doctrine.

Status: Non-suit with Prejudice filed 08/19/13.

BJ400XP, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000888 AG Case #: 123323891

Filed: 3/26/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction; UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$805,000.00	04/01/07 - 04/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.	
Leonard, Lacy L.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Case stayed pending Plaintiff's bankruptcy.

Blue Moon Detective Agency, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003543 AG Case #: 123375263

Filed: 11/9/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$100,000.00	01/01/03 - 03/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Jansen, Jeffrey A.	Jansen Law Firm, PLLC / Houston
--------------------	---------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff challenges the requirement to post a security bond. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief as well as declaratory relief.

Status: Plaintiff's Original Petition, Request for Temporary Restraining Order, Temporary Injunction, and Permanent Injunction filed 11/08/12. Proposed Agreed Interim Order signed 11/10/12. Defendants' Response to Plaintiff's Request for Temporary Injunction filed 11/14/12. Order denying Plaintiff's Request for Temporary Injunction entered 11/21/12.

Blum Investment Group, Inc. dba ProCare Software v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-
0001731

AG Case #: 143514081

Filed: 6/6/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Def. statutes/constitution, Decl. Judg.

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$125,454.00	01-01-06 through 10-31-2013

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.	
Ahlrich, Danielle V.	

Issue: Whether taxpayer has required constitutional nexus was Texas. Whether rule §3.286 is invalid. §2001.038 rule challenges. Whether taxpayer license of software to Texas residents constitutes rental/lease of TPP. Whether application of challenged rule violates equal protection or equal & uniform taxation.

Status: Answer and PTJ filed 07/10/14.

BP America, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000049 AG Case #: 103172706

Filed: 1/6/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,684,875.00	07/01/00 through 12/31/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray	

Issue: Plaintiff brings approximately twenty-five different sales and use tax refund issues in connection with its production and refining operations. Claims include waste removal, environmental services, credit interest, and various manufacturing exemption claims.

Status: Trial set for 11/10/14.

BTA Oil Producers, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003640 AG Case #: 113303093

Filed: 11/28/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,028,989.00	01/01/04 - 12/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Ryan, Kory L.	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
McEwen, Drew	

Issue: Plaintiff's refund claim raises multiple exemptions to the application of the sales and use tax to its operations. Exemptions asserted include: manufacturing exemption; environmental & conservation services; third-party installation; and services performed on exempt items.

Status: Answer filed.

Buckhorn Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002141 AG Case #: 123348351

Filed: 7/17/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$502,863.15	07/01/08 through 06/30/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.	DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin
Butler, Perry	Shackelford, Melton & McKinley, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Whether the Comptroller applied an economic substance policy subject to APA notice and comment. Plaintiff also seeks penalty waiver.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Budget Prepay, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001189 AG Case #: 113263867

Filed: 4/21/2011

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$83,476.82	11/01/04 through 02/29/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Seidel, Scott M.

Gulotta, Anthony C. AG Tax Law, P.C. / Harrisburg, PA

Issue: Whether the "prompt payment discount" which Plaintiff provides to its customers constitutes a discount from the sales price.

Status: Hearing on parties Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 07/23/14. Court took case under advisement.

C.C. Carlton Industries, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003460 AG Case #: 082530270

Filed: 9/22/2008

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$247,570.73	01/01/00 through 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Holcomb, Donald W. Knolle, Holcomb, Kothmann & Callahan / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes tax on construction and electrical work.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 07/03/14.

Caledon Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001550 AG Case #: 123338253

Filed: 5/22/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$373,584.83 07/01/05 through 07/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Trial previously reset for 05/27/14 has now been reset for 10/27/14.

Cantu Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004369 AG Case #: 143475887

Filed: 12/30/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$748,986.84 07/01/2009 through 06/30/2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Whether the Comptroller's application of the statute constitutes an APA rule subject to notice and comment. Plaintiff also seeks penalty waiver.

Status: Case set for non-jury trial on 08/18/14 at 9:00 a.m.

Captain Hook-Austin, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-000544 AG Case #: 113250096

Filed: 2/22/2011

Sales and Use Tax; APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$84,000.00 01/01/05-12/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bigelow, Bruce

Blazier, Christensen, Bigelow & Virr, P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain waste removal services were associated with new construction and not subject to the sales tax.

Status: Jury trial set for 03/23/15.

Catalino A. Pajo v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002790 AG Case #: 133442871

Filed: 8/7/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$7,093.60 01/01/06 through 12/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Wellington, Jon

Jon Wellington, PC / Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff alleges improper audit methodology in a sales tax audit. Plaintiff alleges certain receipts were for non-taxable services.

Status: Original Petition filed 08/07/13. Comptroller and OAG have not been served with citation.

Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003376 AG Case #: 123375248

Filed: 10/26/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$3,656,070.00 06/01/05 - 07/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Christian, John

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the financial services provided by Plaintiff constitute taxable data processing services.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Checkfree Services Corporation v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003667 AG Case #: 133458125

Filed: 10/24/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$3,656,070.00 06/01/05 through 07/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Christian, John

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the financial services provided by Plaintiff constitute taxable data processing services. Whether certain services provided by Plaintiff are exempt under §151.330(f) to the extent performed for use outside of Texas.

Status: Trial set for 08/04/14.

Cirrus Exploration Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001851 AG Case #: 113273395

Filed: 6/21/2011

#03-13-00036-CV

#14-0292

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$55,000.00 01/01/06 - 06/30/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Ray, Doug W.

Ray & Wood / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff qualifies as a licensed and certificated carrier under Tex. Tax Code §151.328(a).

Status: MSJ hearing held 12/04/12. Defendant's MSJ granted; Plaintiff's MSJ denied. Order of Dismissal entered 12/19/12. Notice of Appeal filed 01/16/13. Appellant's Brief filed 03/04/13. Appellee's Brief filed 04/03/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/24/13. Case submitted on briefs on 08/28/13.

Opinion issued 02/12/14, reversing the district court's judgment in favor of the Comptroller and rendering judgment for Cirrus instead. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 03/03/14; overruled 03/07/14.

Petition for Review filed in Tx. Supreme Court on 05/21/14. Response to Petition for Review waived by Respondent 05/23/14. Court requested response 06/27/14. Response filed 07/28/14.

City of Dickinson v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GV-12-000094 AG Case #: 123314528

Filed: 1/27/2012

Sales and Use Tax; UDJA & Injunctive Relief

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Smith, Loren B.

Olson & Olson, LLP / Houston

Issue: Plaintiff seeks declaration relating to tax situs for local sales tax on certain transactions. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief.

Status: TRO hearing set for 04/10/12 was cancelled. Plaintiff and Intervenor's Joint Notice of Nonsuit filed 03/13/14.

City of Webster and the Webster Economic Development Corporation v. Strayhorn

Cause Number: D-1-GV-06-001823 AG Case #: 062409446

Filed: 9/15/2006

#03-08-00291-CV

#10-0416

Sales Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
--------------	------------------

\$502,620.70	05/01/02 - 01/31/06
--------------	---------------------

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Feldman, David M.

Feldman & Rogers, L.L.P. / Houston

Cowan, Robert W.

Gregg, Jr., Dick H.

Gregg & Gregg, P.C. / Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's reallocation of local sales taxes based on the filing of amended tax returns violates the procedural and substantive due course of law provisions of the Texas Constitution and constitutes a taking. Whether the Comptroller's interpretation of Tax Code §321.002(a)(3) is constitutional. Whether Plaintiffs and Intervenors have standing to challenge the Comptroller's interpretation of sec. 321.002 of the Tax Code under the Texas Constitution, UDJA, and APA. Whether sovereign immunity bars Plaintiffs' & Intervenors' suit. Plaintiffs also request attorneys' fees.

Status: Discovery in progress. Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 02/14/07. Original Plea in Intervention & Third Party Petition filed 04/18/07 by cities of Denton, Humble, Lewisville, Mesquite, North Richland Hills, and Plano, and Denton County Transportation Authority and Fort Worth Transportation Authority. Original Answer filed by City of Grand Prairie, third party defendant, on 05/29/07. First Amended Plea in Intervention filed on 06/12/07, adding the City of Waco as a party. Second Amended Plea in Intervention And Third-Party Petition filed 09/28/07. Hearing on Defendant's First Amended Plea to the Jurisdiction 02/07/08 at 9:00 a.m. Letter Ruling issued on 03/26/08, denying Defendant's First Amended Plea to the Jurisdiction and First Supplemental Plea to the Jurisdiction; Proposed Order submitted to court on 04/09/08 by Counsel for Intervenors. 04/11/08 Order denying Comptroller's 1st Amended & 1st Supplemental Pleas to the Jurisdiction signed by the court. Notice of Appeal filed 05/01/08. Hearing on Intervenors' Motion to Compel 06/11/08. Court ordered that commencement of trial, and all other proceedings in the trial court, including discovery, are automatically stayed pending resolution of the Comptroller's interlocutory appeal on 06/17/08. Appellant's brief filed 07/11/08. Appellee's brief filed 08/18/08. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 09/15/08. Submitted on oral argument on 06/10/09. Supplemental brief received from Appellee on 06/19/09. Response due 06/29/09. Appellee's Motion for Leave filed 06/29/09; granted 07/02/09. Opinion issued 10/02/09, affirming the trial court's denial of the plea to the jurisdiction as to the UDJA claim on the issue of whether the comptroller acted outside her authority regarding the determination of where specific sales were consummated, but reversed the trial court and dismissed the other UDJA claims, constitutional claims and APA claims and dismissed those claims for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 10/15/09. Appellee's Motion for Rehearing filed 10/20/09; denied 04/16/10. Denton's Petition for Review filed in the Texas Supreme Court on 06/01/10. Webster's Petition for Review filed 06/03/10. State's Response filed 06/22/10. State's Cross-Petition for Review filed 06/30/10. Webster's Reply filed 07/07/10. Denton's Reply filed 07/09/10. Response to

the State's Cross-Petition waived by Webster on 07/15/10, and by Denton on 07/16/10. Petitions for Review denied 08/20/10. Mandate issued by Court of Appeals on 10/22/10. Intervenor (Denton, et al.) filed Notice of Non-Suit as to Defendants on 10/29/10: Plaintiffs filed Notice of Non-Suit as to all claims and all defendants, & third party defendants on 11/15/10. Intervenor filed Notice of Non-Suit as to third party defendants on 11/16/10.

CJN Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000442 AG Case #: 143485936

Filed: 2/12/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$680,551.50 07/01/08 through 06/30/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Eidman, Mark W.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of two aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Trial set for 12/01/14.

Club Hat Tricks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN-13-003403

AG Case #: 133450593

Filed: 9/30/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Injunctive Relief, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$357,508.96 01/01/2009 through 08/31/2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lorenzana, Jr., Elias V.

The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock

Issue: Plaintiff challenges procedures utilized during a sales tax audit. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Plaintiff's Motion for Non-suit filed 10/15/13.

Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-001751 AG Case #: 103200416

Filed: 5/28/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,919,943.00	11/01/1998 to 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly applied the statute of limitations to specific transactions based on the invoice date rather than an accrual date. Whether the Comptroller properly excluded a transaction from an audit sample based on the invoice date. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of TPP and building maintenance services, used or consumed at a leased facility, qualify for the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of equipment and consumable supplies qualify for exemption under 151.328(d) (aircraft maintenance) and 151.328(e), respectively.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Continental Airlines, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003602 AG Case #: 123381196

Filed: 11/14/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,640,944.10	04/01/03 through 02/28/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Hagenswold, R. Eric	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly applied the statute of limitations based on the invoice date rather than an accrual date. Whether certain items are exempt as improvements to reality

owned by an exempt entity. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of TPP and building maintenance services, used or consumed at a facility leased from an exempt entity, should be exempt under §151.309. Whether Plaintiff's purchases of equipment and consumable supplies qualify for exemption under §151.328(e).

Status: Answer filed.

Courthouse Direct.com v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001252 AG Case #: 113268445

Filed: 4/27/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Refund and Protest; UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$77,604.00 (plus interest & penalties) 12/01/98 thru 05/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hopkins, Mark D.

Hopkins & Williams, PLLC / Austin

Roberts, William A.

The Roberts Law Firm / Dallas

Issue: Whether services provided by Plaintiffs including title search services, constitute information services or should be exempt as "landman" services under §151.0048(b-1) and whether services are proprietary.

Status: Discovery in progress. Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 10/27/11.

Crowson Litigation Settlement Trust v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003424 AG Case #: 123379729

Filed: 10/31/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$11,623,713.97 07/01/02 - 04/30/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Godbey, Joshua

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Davis, Don L.

Byrd Davis Furman & Alden, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff has a statutory basis to file refund claim. Whether Plaintiff's documentation was sufficient to verify the claimed refund amount.

Status: Case dismissed with prejudice 7/22/14.

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-063567

AG Case #: 062410139

Filed: 9/21/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Rodriguez, Rene

Law Offices of Rene Rodriguez / Corpus Christi

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax. Whether the vessel was used or received within the state. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller does not have legal authority to collect the assessed tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Day Cruises Maritime, L.L.C. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004734 AG Case #: 072432578

Filed: 12/27/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$243,910.85	12/01/01 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Rodriguez, Rene

Law Offices of Rene Rodriguez / Corpus Christi

Issue: Plaintiff filed suit 09/21/06 under protest questioning the assessed tax based on whether Plaintiff's charter of a vessel is leased property subject to sales and use tax, and whether the vessel was used or received within the State. Plaintiff now seeks judgment that the tax in question is unconstitutional and may not be legally demanded or collected by the Comptroller.

Plaintiff requests jury trial.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Del Monte Fresh Produce (Texas), Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002414 AG Case #: 093142628

Filed: 7/28/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,877,825.91	01/01/2000 through 07/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M.	K&L Gates, LLP / Dallas
------------------------	-------------------------

Issue: Whether Del Monte qualifies for the manufacturing exemption on equipment, parts, packaging and electricity used in its operations with raw potatoes and tomatoes.

Status: Answer filed.

Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000172 AG Case #: 123313769

Filed: 1/23/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,333.20	04/01/08 through 11/30/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether certain wrapping and packaging materials are exempt under §151.318(d). Whether certain wrapping and packaging materials were purchased for resale.

Status: Case consolidated with Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-12-002026) Agreed Judgment entered 04/17/14.

Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002026 AG Case #: 123362287

Filed: 7/5/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$336,805.41 04/01/05 through 03/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether certain wrapping and packaging materials are exempt under §151.318.

Status: Case consolidated into Dish Purchasing Corp. v. Combs, et al. (Cause No. GN-12-000172)

Dolan Enterprises, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-002527 AG Case #: 143525673

Filed: 7/25/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$644,450.00 April 1, 2008 to December 31, 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Co-Counsel

Hudson, Eric OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Leonard, Lacy L.

Ahlich, Danielle V.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes sales tax on the aircraft purchase. Whether purchase is subject to sale-for-resale exemption. Whether tax treatment violates equal & uniform taxation. Whether tax, if due, was calculated correctly. Whether Comptroller's rule is invalid. (Rule challenge under APA)

Status: Citation issued.

Doubletree DTWC Corp. v. Combs, et al. (Formerly Embassy Equity Development Corporation, et al. v. Strayhorn, et al.)

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004267 AG Case #: 062425566
#03-10-00801-CV
#13-0368

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,487.10	01/01/96 - 12/31/98 06/01/97 - 05/31/01
\$10,494.52	01/01/95 - 12/31/98
\$17,485.53	12/01/98 - 03/31/02
\$2,615.82	01/01/98 - 12/31/00
\$4,190.26	09/01/94 - 06/30/97
\$1,658.68	09/01/94 - 05/31/98
\$2,894.76	09/01/94 - 03/31/98
\$4,044.05	07/01/95 - 12/31/98 01/01/99 - 05/31/02
\$1,440.73	09/01/94 - 08/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Hearing on Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment held 08/18/10. Final Judgment in favor of Comptroller entered 10/15/10 with respect to Plaintiff Doubletree DTWC. The 10/15/10 Final Judgment also severed the remaining claims from the instant case and assigned those claims to a new cause number, D-1-GN-11-000081. Court ruled for the Comptroller on 09/02/10. Plaintiff's Amended Notice of Appeal filed 12/03/10. Appellant's brief filed 02/15/11; oral argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 05/03/11. Appellant's Motion to Withdraw Attorney filed 05/04/11; granted 05/11/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 06/06/11.

Case submitted on oral argument on 09/28/11. Additional authorities filed by Appellee on 09/30/11. Appellant's Motion filed 10/06/11; granted 10/10/11. Letter brief filed by Appellant 10/10/11. Opinion issued 04/11/13, reversing the district court's order granting summary judgment in favor of the Comptroller and rendering judgment that DTWC recover the sales tax in controversy. Petition for Review not filed. Mandate on cause number D-1-GN-06-004267 issued 09/06/13.

Agreed Judgment on cause D-1-GN-11-000081 entered 01/23/14.

DSW Shoe Warehouse, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000233 AG Case #: 123314759

Filed: 1/30/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$570,222.00 07/01/05 through 09/30/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Plaintiff challenges sampling methodology applied in a sales tax audit.

Status: Discovery in progress.

EBIX, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003961 AG Case #: 133386185

Filed: 12/17/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$991,386.42 08/01/15 through 04/30/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Leighton, William R.

Leighton Law Firm, PLLC / Austin

Issue: Whether certain computer programs developed and sold by the Plaintiff are exempt.

Whether computer programming services provided by the Plaintiff are subject to the sales tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Efrem T. Tesfay v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003197 AG Case #: 133383182

Filed: 10/11/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$50,000.00	05/01/2007 through 12/31/2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Socks, Emmanuel Ncube	Socks Law Associates, PLLC / Dallas
-----------------------	-------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff seeks a remand of an administrative proceeding, alleging lack of representation.

Status: Case Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 01/31/14.

Elie Sadik Haddad v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000755 AG Case #: 143494649

Filed: 3/12/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,807.05	June 1, 2006 through February 28, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Moore, Steven D.	Jackson Walker, L.L.P / Austin
------------------	--------------------------------

Tomasco, Patricia B.

Padilla, Jorge A.

Issue: Challenge to personal assessment; whether the president of taxpayer company is personally liable. Whether a cause of action is viable under UDJA.

Status: Answer and PTJ filed 04/14/14.

EMC Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000851 AG Case #: 143494805

Filed: 3/20/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$5,549,263.66	Report Years 2010 through 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Goldberg, Olga	

Issue: Whether EMC may elect three-factor apportionment under the multi-state tax compact.

Status: Answer filed.

Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001249 AG Case #: 093120491

Filed: 4/17/2009

#03-10-00644-CV

#13-0769

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$890,601.19	06/06/03 to 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Rogers, Harold D.	Wichita Falls
Johnson III, Robert F.	Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft is non-taxable when the aircraft is delivered out of state and registered there.

Status: Cross-Motions for Summary Judgment heard on 05/12/10. Letter ruling granting Comptroller's MSJ and denying Plaintiff's MSJ entered 06/10/10. Final Order signed 08/19/10. Notice of Appeal filed 09/17/10. Appellant's Brief filed 01/21/11; oral argument

requested. Appellee's brief filed 03/29/11. Oral argument denied 04/06/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/18/11. Appellant's Request for Oral Argument or for Expedited Decision filed 01/28/13. Appellee's Response filed 01/30/13. Case submitted on briefs on 04/23/13. Memorandum Opinion issued 04/25/13, affirming the district court's judgment. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing filed 05/10/13; denied 08/12/13. Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court on 09/26/13. Respondent's Response to Petition for Review waived 10/14/13. Response requested by the Supreme Court on 11/15/13. Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response filed 01/14/14; granted 01/15/14. Response filed 01/22/14. Petitioner's Reply filed 02/06/14. Petition for Review denied 03/21/14.

Energy Education of Montana, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002728 AG Case #: 093146496
#03-10-00644-CV

Filed: 8/20/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$154,800.33	06/01/2003 through 06/30/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Johnson III, Robert F.	Gardere Wynne & Sewell / Dallas
------------------------	---------------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft is non-taxable when the aircraft is delivered out of state and registered there.

Status: On 05/08/14, the Court affirmed the District Court's order.

EOG Resources, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001751 AG Case #: 123341133

Filed: 6/11/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$18,004,000.00	07/01/01 through 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of equipment for oil and gas production and distribution is eligible for the manufacturing exemption under §151.318.

Status: Trial set for 11/24/14.

Evo Inc. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002969 AG Case #: 123368425
#03-14-00293-CV

Filed: 9/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff brings suit under 112.101 of the Tax Code to determine whether its diagnostic information products constitute nontaxable proprietary information services.

Status: Trial held 02/04/14. Final Judgment in favor of Plaintiff entered 02/06/14. Notice of Appeal filed 05/07/14. Appellant's Motion to Dismiss filed 05/21/14; granted 05/28/14. Memorandum Opinion issued 05/28/14, dismissing the appeal.

EWC Aviation Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003554 AG Case #: 133457358

Filed: 10/14/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$869,065.31 05/01/11 through 06/30/12

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Trial set for 09/15/14.

Expo Windows Fashion, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-002173 AG Case #: 143518421

Filed: 7/3/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest; APA; UDJA, & Injunctive Relief

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$419,322.90 January 1, 2008 through December 31, 2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

McEwen, Drew Dykema Gossett, PLLC / Austin

Issue: Whether taxpayer is entitled to sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

ExxonMobil Oil Corporation v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002257 AG Case #: 113280598

Filed: 7/26/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$2,089,796.31 01/01/1996 through 12/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H. Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the addition of emission control equipment to an existing refinery constitutes new construction.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Fair Isaac Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003553 AG Case #: 113302715

Filed: 11/15/2011

#03-14-00124-CV

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$257,528.90	11/01/03 through 06/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether certain computer programming services qualify as custom software.

Status: Trial held 10/15/13; record reopened 11/25/13. Order granting Plaintiff relief signed 12/20/13. Notice of Appeal filed 03/05/14.

Fencecrete America Manufacturing, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003690 AG Case #: 113305163

Filed: 12/2/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Refund & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$135,638.50	07/01/00 - 11/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.	Attorney at Law / Austin
---------------------	--------------------------

Blume, James D.	BLUME, FAULKNER, SKEEN & NORTHAM, PLLC / Richardson
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff was entitled to a credit for tax remitted on the purchase of materials for certain contracts.

Status: Answer filed.

First Class Enterprises, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001271 AG Case #: 093120772

Filed: 4/17/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$150,000.00	10/01/00 through 04/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Fowler, Gerald Fife Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is liable for tax as successor when assessment was made after Plaintiff bought business.

Status: Answer filed.

FTS Aero, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001495 AG Case #: 143507937

Filed: 5/21/2014

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$565,174.60 Tax period from 06/01/2012 through 07/31/2013

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A. DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of aircraft was exempt as a "sale for resale".

Status: Citation issued.

GEO Group, Inc., The v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002855 AG Case #: 093146850

Filed: 8/28/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,367,377.14 05/01/2001 through 04/30/2005

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity and natural gas consumed by a correctional facility is subject to the residential use exemption under §151.317(c).

Status: Hearing on Plaintiff's MSJ previously set for 04/25/12 has been passed.

Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-002137 AG Case #: 093136810

Filed: 7/2/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$148,709.00 02/01/1999 through 03/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.

Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims an exemption for electricity used in its food business.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

Glazier Foods Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000516 AG Case #: 123320327

Filed: 2/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate dismissal, for want of prosecution, of D-1-GN-09-002137.

Status: D-1-GN-09-002137 reinstated 05/03/12.

Good Mourning Tree Co., Inc. and Sidney Francis Mourning v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000306 AG Case #: 143483246

Filed: 1/31/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period
01/01/06 through 04/30/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Nunis, Robert P. Nunis & Associates / Austin
Miller, Brittani S.

Issue: Plaintiff challenges the assessment of a fraud penalty.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002032 AG Case #: 123362865

Filed: 7/6/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$338,197.00 03/01/08 through 12/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

McEwen, Drew Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Sigel, Doug

Issue: Whether certain equipment used for broadcasting video content is eligible for exemption under either §151.318 or §151.3185.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/10/14.

Grande Communications Networks, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003344 AG Case #: 123374688

Filed: 10/24/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$8,159,662.85 07/01/00 - 02/29/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether certain equipment used for broadcasting video content is eligible for exemption under either §151.318 or §151.3185.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 01/10/14.

Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001804 AG Case #: 093131431

Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$208,304.00 11/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.

Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

Grocers Supply Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000513 AG Case #: 123320236

Filed: 2/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate the dismissal, for want of prosecution, of D-1-GN-09-001804.

Status: D-1-GN-09-001804 reinstated 05/03/12.

Grocers Supply Institutional Convenience Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000514 AG Case #: 123320251

Filed: 2/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate the dismissal, for want of prosecution, of D-1-GN-09-001803.

Status: D-1-GN-09-001803 reinstated 05/03/12.

Grocers Supply Produce Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000515 AG Case #: 123320269

Filed: 2/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate dismissal, for want of prosecution, of D-1-GN-09-001805.

Status: D-1-GN-09-001805 reinstated 05/03/12.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001803 AG Case #: 093131415

Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$55,893.00 08/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

Grocers Supply-Institutional-Convenience, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN300904

AG Case #: 031782931

Filed: 3/20/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$79,688.23	06/01/95 - 05/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

Grocers Supply-Produce Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-001805 AG Case #: 093131423

Filed: 6/6/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$78,796.00	08/01/1999 through 03/31/2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity, equipment and parts were exempt because of their use in processing by lowering the temperature of food products. Plaintiff also seeks attorney's fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

GSC Enterprises, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN501091

AG Case #: 052132271

Filed: 4/7/2005

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$241,656.28	02/01/97 - 04/30/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

H.K. Global Trading, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002632 AG Case #: 113287932
03-13-00260-CV

Filed: 8/30/2011

Sales Tax; Protest, UDJA, APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$592,667.63	09/01/00 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Scarborough, Terry

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Haynes, Alison White

Trevino, Valls & Haynes, LLP / Laredo

Issue: Whether §151.307(d), requiring a 24-hour interval between the time an item is exported and the time a Customs Broker may refund the sales tax paid on that item, violates the Import-Export Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Status: Trial held 12/17/12. Final Judgment in favor of State entered 12/21/12. Plaintiff's Request for Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law filed 01/09/13. Notice of Appeal filed 04/23/13. Appellant's Brief filed 09/10/13. Appellee's Brief filed 10/10/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 10/30/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 02/19/14. Opinion issued 03/28/14, affirming the trial court's judgment. H.K. Global filed Petition for Review 06/10/14. The Comptroller filed a Response to the Petition for Review 07/03/14.

Health Care Service Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003387 AG Case #: 113299986

Filed: 11/3/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$191,475.44	06/01/00 - 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Eidman, Mark W.

Issue: Whether certain transactions were subject to tax as taxable services. Whether certain transactions were eligible for the sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/17/14.

Health Care Service Corporation, A Mutual Legal Reserve Company, Successor to Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003977 AG Case #: 133468496

Filed: 11/21/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$156,617.62	06/01/00 through 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether certain transactions were subject to tax as taxable services.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/17/14.

Health Care Services, Corp. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000387 AG Case #: 123316218

Filed: 2/10/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,002,498.37 01/01/04 through 06/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Eidman, Mark W.

Issue: Whether certain taxable items were sold to the federal government and whether such transactions are eligible for the sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/17/14.

High Tech Document Service v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000220 AG Case #: 103175469

Filed: 1/20/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$61,592.65 09/01/00 through 01/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of certain items subsequently leased to a third party are eligible for the sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/02/14.

Home Depot, USA, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002463 AG Case #: 062380324

Filed: 7/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,595,000.00	01/01/95 - 12/31/99

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Eidman, Mark W.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff may take bad debt credit under private label credit agreement.

Status: Trial set for 05/11/15.

Hotel Paso Del Norte, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000115 AG Case #: 123312431

Filed: 1/16/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest; APA; UDJA, & Injunctive Relief

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$247,028.49	07/01/00 through 12/31/03
\$363,618.46	04/01/01 through 03/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lorenzana, Jr., Elias V.

The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock

Issue: Plaintiff challenges a sales tax assessment and a hotel occupancy tax assessment.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Intrado, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003357 AG Case #: 123375255

Filed: 10/26/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$469,461.55	01/01/05 - 12/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether communications services provided by Plaintiff constitute taxable date processing services. Whether Plaintiff's sale of communications services is eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Trial held 07/12/14. Judge has taken it under advisement.

J.E. Dunn Construction Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002825 AG Case #: 113288617

Filed: 9/12/2011

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$189,293.81	02/01/03 through 01/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff properly calculated the amount of tax due on various contracts for remodeling services.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/17/13.

Jawa Fuel, Inc. and Saif Ullah v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001992 AG Case #: 143517431

Filed: 6/23/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$174,908.79	September 1, 2006 through September 30, 2010 May 1, 2007 through September 30, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Buck, E. Rhett Houston

Issue:

Status: Taxpayer filed for bankruptcy 07/25/14.

Kenneth O. Lester Co., et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003762 AG Case #: 082534553

Filed: 10/17/2008

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$180,000.00	Sept. 1, 1999 through Feb. 29, 2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity is exempt as electricity used in processing when Plaintiff lowers the temperature of food products. Whether packing supplies, replacement parts, and repairs are exempt.

Status: Case Dismissed for Want of Prosecution 05/06/11. Petition to Reinstate granted 05/03/12.

Kenneth O. Lester, Co., Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002741 AG Case #: 113287916

Filed: 9/2/2011

Sales Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate dismissal of D-1-GN-08-003762 for want of prosecution.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/09/13.

Krishna Bhavana, LLC and Krishna Kothari v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003393 AG Case #: 113300016

Filed: 11/3/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$283,592.01 05/01/2006 - 08/31/2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sookdeo, Christina I. Sookdeo & Associates, PC / Plano

Issue: Plaintiff contests sales tax assessment. Plaintiff also seeks injunctive relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Kroger Texas, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-001929 AG Case #: 143518611

Filed: 6/18/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$4,054,129.06 July 1, 2003 through December 31, 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack OAG Taxation / Austin

Bolson, Anthony

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.

Issue: Whether taxpayer is entitled to the manufacturing exemption on its sales & use tax.

Status: Answer filed and Request for Disclosure exchanged.

KST Electric, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003855 AG Case #: 123306664

Filed: 12/20/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$162,197.00	01/01/05 - 09/30/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Plaintiff challenges the sampling methodology used in a sales tax audit.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Leoncito Plant, L.L.C. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001116 AG Case #: 113260947

Filed: 4/14/2011

#03-12-00376-CV

#07-12-00295-CV

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$619,588.00	01/01/04 - 09/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.
Langenberg, Ray

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether purchase of casing is eligible for the manufacturing exemption under Texas Tax Code §151.318.

Status: State's partial MSJ granted 03/07/12. Final Judgment regarding denial of the Section 151.318 claims signed 05/23/12. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 06/05/12. Case transferred to the 7th Court of Appeals on 07/05/12. Clerk's Record filed 07/30/12. Supplemental Clerk's Record filed 08/27/12. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 09/26/12; granted 09/27/12. Appellant's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 10/24/12; granted 10/25/12. Appellant's Brief filed 11/09/12. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 01/04/13. Appellee's Brief filed 01/30/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 03/12/13. Appellant's Motion to Dismiss filed 04/09/13; granted 04/10/13. Memorandum Opinion issued 04/10/13, dismissing the case. Mandate issued 04/10/13.

LH Air, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001362 AG Case #: 123331381

Filed: 5/4/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$399,643.47	07/01/07 through 09/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Evans, Gary L.	Coats & Evans, P.C. / The Woodlands
Coats, George	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Linda S. Jones dba Johnny's Package Store and also dba Johnny's v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-001061 AG Case #: 133408146

Filed: 3/29/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$233,420.75	10/01/06 through 07/31/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Jansen, Jeffrey A.	Jansen Law Firm, PLLC / Houston
--------------------	---------------------------------

Issue: Whether AP92 and AP122 were properly applied in determining Plaintiff's tax liability. Whether the implementation of AP92 and AP122 was in violation of the APA. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Hearing on Plea to the Jurisdiction set for 10/01/13. Defendants' brief in support of plea filed 09/06/13. Notice of Nonsuit entered 09/18/13.

Loyd House Aviation, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000533 AG Case #: 143487858

Filed: 2/20/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, UDJA, APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$794,859.34	04/01/11 through 03/31/12

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.	
Ahlich, Danielle V.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of two aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Whether the Comptroller applied a policy that constituted an invalid rule. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Makara Enterprise, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003507 AG Case #: 113302830

Filed: 11/15/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$297,993.00	01/01/06 - 07/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Mondrik, Christina A.	Mondrik & Associates / Austin
-----------------------	-------------------------------

Issue: Whether the audit procedures were proper. Plaintiffs also challenge assessment of individual liability against a partner. Plaintiffs challenge validity of a tax lien. Plaintiffs also seek declaratory and insolvency relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Marlin Leasing Corp. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002601 AG Case #: 123355935

Filed: 8/16/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$180,063.65	10/01/03 through 04/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Moore, Steven D.	Jackson Walker, L.L.P / Austin
McCalla, Dudley D.	

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly characterized certain contracts as financing leases. Whether certain leases were written-off as bad debts.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 06/24/14.

Matoka, Inc. vs. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001217 AG Case #: 082505595

Filed: 4/10/2008

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$171,963.00	04/01/2001 through 11/30/2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Mondrik, Christina A.

Mondrik & Associates / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is engaged in non-taxable erosion control services. Whether the essence of Plaintiff's transactions is services. Whether Plaintiff's services are exempt as environmental services. Whether Rule 3.291 is invalid. Whether the Comptroller violated equal protection and the Commerce Clause. Plaintiff also seeks penalty and interest abatement and declaratory relief.

Status: Trial setting for 04/25/11, passed by agreement.

Maxus Energy Corporation as Successor in Interest to Maxus Corporate Company v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN404187

AG Case #: 052082260

Filed: 12/27/2004

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,794,780.29 09/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether items purchased by Plaintiff to be exported outside of the U.S. by a freight consolidator and not invoiced individually are exempt from sales and use tax. Whether the Comptroller's auditing techniques can assess tax on transactions previously audited and non-assessed. Whether Plaintiff "purchased" or "rented" software, and whether services provided to implement the software are taxable. Whether services performed on tangible personal property provided by a third party are exempt from sales and use tax. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Defendant's Motion to Dismiss filed 02/09/12. Agreed Abeyance entered 02/16/12.

Michael Johnson v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002485 AG Case #: 133434753

Filed: 7/23/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$200,486.82 06/01/08 through 05/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Modern Furniture, Inc. and Thanh Thuan Ly v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002689 AG Case #: 123363715

Filed: 8/31/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction, UDJA & APA

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tresnicky, John M.

The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock

Lorenzana, Jr., Elias V.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller has authority to assess liability for tax collected by the Plaintiff, but not remitted to the Comptroller, based on an estimated assessment. Whether the Comptroller properly imposed a fraud penalty. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief under the APA and UDJA, as well as injunctive relief.

Status: Answer filed.

OA, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000506 AG Case #: 123320509

Filed: 2/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$710,179.24 01/01/07 - 06/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.
Ahlrich, Danielle V.

Issue: Whether an aircraft purchased and registered out of state, but used in Texas, is subject to the use tax.

Status: Trial previously set for 04/14/14 has been passed.

Olmos Abatement, Inc. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-004361 AG Case #: 083092882

Filed: 12/3/2008

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,739.97	10/01/01 through 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Trickey, Timothy M. The Trickey Law Firm / Austin

Issue: Whether expense items used in the asbestos abatement process are exempt. Whether the items were resold to the exempt entities for whom the services were performed.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Pointsmith Point of Purchase Management Services, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001514 AG Case #: 113269286

Filed: 5/20/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$247,670.20	11/11/03 through 03/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Grimsinger, William O.

Chamberlain, Hrdlicka, White, Williams & Martin
/ Houston

Issue: Whether charges associated with Defendant's printing services should be characterized as a receipt for storage services. Whether certain transactions are exempt as sale-for-resale. Whether certain transactions are exempt as out of state sales. Whether the Comptroller properly calculated the error rate in the audit. Whether the Comptroller properly denied penalty and interest waiver.

Status: Trial set for 10/13/14.

Rackspace US Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-000947 AG Case #: 113260749

Filed: 3/31/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$739,186.00	10/01/01 - 06/30/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.

Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin

Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Whether purchase of a software license qualifies for the sale-for-resale exemption.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 05/12/14.

Restaurants Acquisition I, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003092 AG Case #: 133448233

Filed: 9/3/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Injunctive Relief, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$900,574.78	05/01/02 through 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Schenck, David J. Jones Day / Dallas

Oldham, Kevin Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

McEwen, Drew

Issue: Plaintiff challenges procedures utilized during a sales tax audit. Plaintiff challenges sampling technique utilized during the audit. Whether certain food processing items are eligible for the manufacturing exemption. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Richmont Aviation, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-000783 AG Case #: 113254387

Filed: 3/16/2011

#03-11-00486-CV

#13-0857

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$530,195.64 01/01/04 thru 12/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Bernal, Jr., Gilbert J. Stahl, Bernal & Davies / Austin

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption pursuant to either §151.328 (a)(1) or the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Hearing on State's PTJ and Plaintiff's application for injunctive relief held on 06/02/11. Trial court granted State's PTJ on 06/29/11. Notice of Appeal filed 07/29/11. Appellant's Brief filed 02/27/12. Appellee's Brief filed 03/28/12. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/17/12. Oral argument denied 07/23/13. Case submitted on briefs on 08/13/13. Memorandum opinion issued 09/12/13, reversing the trial court's order and remanding for further proceedings.

Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court on 10/23/13. Response to Petition for Review waived by Respondent on 11/04/13. Response requested by the Supreme Court on 12/06/13. Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response filed 12/31/13;

granted 01/02/14. Response filed 01/30/14. Petitioner's Reply filed 02/14/14. Petitioner's Brief on the Merits filed 05/21/14. Respondent's Brief on the Merits filed 06/10/14. Reply Brief filed 07/02/14.

Roadway Express, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN002831

AG Case #: 001357631

Filed: 9/25/2000

Sales Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$713,686.05	04/01/88 - 05/31/92
\$206,053.87	04/01/88 - 05/31/92

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cowling, David E.

Jones Day / Dallas

Lochridge, Robert

Issue: Whether various equipment used by the Plaintiff with its trucks is exempt from use tax as tangible personal property sold to a common carrier for use outside the state. Alternatively, whether the equipment had been taxed as vehicle components under the interstate motor carrier tax and could not be taxed as "accessories." Alternatively, whether taxing 100% of the value of the equipment violates the Commerce Clause because of a lack of substantial nexus and of fair apportionment. Whether all tax was paid on Plaintiff's repair and remodeling contracts and capital assets. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorneys' fees.

Status: Trial setting passed. Discovery in progress.

Roberts Ranch and Investments, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003762 AG Case #: 133465138

Filed: 10/31/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$745,049.72	03/02/2012-02/28/2013

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A.

DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin

Chumlea, Joe

Shackelford, Melton & McKinley, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale.

Status: Answer filed.

Root's Rocks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-004391 AG Case #: 113241509

Filed: 12/17/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$273,052.00 05/01/03 through 02/28/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tome, Christopher J.

C. Tome Law Firm / Cedar Park

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's books and records accurately receipts subject to sales tax. Whether certain transactions are exempt as out of state sales.

Status: Answer filed.

Ryan, LLC v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002388 AG Case #: 123357642

Filed: 8/6/2012

03-13-00400-CV

Sales and Use Tax; APA & Declaratory Relief

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Eidman, Mark W.

Christian, John

Issue: Whether Comptroller Rule 3.325(a)(4), detailing the required elements of a refund claim, exceed the scope of §111.104. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment heard 04/03/13. Trial held 05/06/13. Judgment for Plaintiff on 05/10/13. Notice of Appeal filed 06/06/13. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 11/26/13; granted 12/03/13. Appellant's Brief filed 01/06/14. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 01/17/14. Appellee's Brief filed 02/18/14. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed and granted 02/20/14. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 03/24/14.

Salim Abbas Merchant v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-000511 AG Case #: 093107688

Filed: 2/17/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Canfield, George W. San Antonio

Issue: Plaintiff seeks review under the APA of a sales tax deficiency. Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller used unreliable data and incorrect mark-up percentages.

Status: Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction heard on 08/26/13. Order granting Defendants' Plea to the Jurisdiction entered 08/26/13.

Sanadco, Inc. and Mahmoud A. Isba aka Moumoud Ahmed Abuisba aka Mike Isba v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000902 AG Case #: 113243950

Filed: 1/12/2011

#03-11-00462-CV

#12-0192

Sales and Use Tax; Declaratory Judgment, APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$26,312.00	01/01/08-02/28/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Hohengarten, Jack OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Jackson, Samuel T. Arlington

Issue: Whether AP92 and AP122 were properly applied in determining Plaintiff's tax liability.

Whether the implementation of AP92 and AP122 was in violation of the APA.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction granted 07/08/11. Notice of Appeal filed in the Third Court of Appeals on 07/25/11. Appellant's brief filed 08/24/11. Appellee's brief filed 10/13/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 12/14/11. Case submitted on oral argument on 01/11/12. Sanadco's Motion for Emergency Relief and Petition for Writ of Injunction denied on 02/24/12. Sanadco's Motion for Emergency Relief was filed in the Third Court; and denied 05/03/12. A Mandamus action was filed in the Texas Supreme Court on 03/07/12 and was denied on 11/16/12. 3rd Court of Appeals issued its opinion on 09/26/13 concluding the AP memos were formal "rules" but affirming the remainder of order granting plea to the jurisdiction. Motion for Rehearing and Reconsideration En Banc filed 10/08/13 by State Officials. Response to Sate Officials' Motion filed 12/20/14. State Officials' Reply filed 01/15/14.

Satellite Transportation Services, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003780 AG Case #: 133465161

Filed: 11/4/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$165,837.59	11/01/08 through 10/31/11

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Bryant, Kendall	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of two aircraft was eligible for the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Trial set for 10/13/14.

SBC Aviation Holdings, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004075 AG Case #: 133470260

Filed: 12/3/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$4,708,228.42	10/01/06 through 12/31/06

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.	OAG Taxation / Austin
--------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.
Bryant, Kendall

Issue: Whether the purchase of two specific aircraft is subject to sales tax.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Shehzad Dhanani v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-003321 AG Case #: 103224499

Filed: 9/17/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Injunction & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,987.77	11/01/05 through 04/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gamboa, John L. Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether Plaintiff, as the general manager of a convenience store, is liable for certain tax delinquencies of that entity, including taxes collected but not remitted.

Status: Hearing on Defendants' Motion to Dismiss for Want of Prosecution previously set for 04/09/13, has been passed.

Silicon Laboratories, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GV-14-000474 AG Case #: 143501146

Filed: 4/18/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,531,056.84	May 1, 2003 through October 31, 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Oldham, Kevin C.
McEwen, Drew
Schneck, David

Dykema Gossett, PLLC / Austin

Issue: Whether the software used by Plaintiff in its production of semiconductor chips is exempt from taxation because it was used in the manufacturing process, under Tax Code §151.318.

Status: Answer filed.

Softlayer Technologies, Inc. fka The Planet.com Internet Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000673 AG Case #: 133403212

Filed: 2/22/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, Refund & APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,069,846.49 07/01/02 through 12/31/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Steadman, Nathan A.

Meyer, Knight & Williams, LLP / Houston

Lorkowski, Mary Jane

Lloyd, Aaron P.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of software installed on its own servers qualifies for the sale for resale exemption. Whether Plaintiff remitted tax on certain equipment leases. Plaintiff also seeks judicial review, under the APA, of the Comptroller Decision.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Southwest Airlines, Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002066 AG Case #: 133429993

Filed: 6/20/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,336,959.01 01/01/99 through 12/31/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M. K&L Gates, LLP / Dallas
Russell, Andrew B.
Edwards, T. Blake

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of TPP and building maintenance services, used or consumed at a facility leased from an exempt entity, should be exempt under §151.309. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of TPP and construction services are exempt under §151.311 as improvements to realty owned by an exempt entity.

Status: Answer filed.

Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-004284 AG Case #: 103170106
#03-12-00511-CV

Filed: 12/17/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$960,000.00	Jan. 1, 1997 through April 30, 2001

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W. Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Langenberg, Ray

Issue: Plaintiff's refund suit raises approximately 50 sales and use tax issues in relation to its production and refining operations. Claims include waste removal, sale for resale, environmental services, and various manufacturing exemption claims.

Status: Judgment for the State on 04/30/12. Notice of Appeal filed 07/30/12. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 09/27/12; granted 10/01/12. Appellant's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 11/01/12; granted 11/05/12. Appellant's Brief filed 12/05/12. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 01/04/13; granted 01/07/13. Appellee's Brief filed 03/05/13. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed 03/18/13; granted 03/19/13. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 04/08/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 09/25/13.

Southwest Royalties, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002550 AG Case #: 123359820

Filed: 8/21/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$153,330.28 01/01/97 through 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of certain equipment for oil and gas production is eligible for the manufacturing exemption under §151.318. Whether Plaintiff is entitled to an offset of assessed interest.

Status: Answer filed.

Store Display Fixtures, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000708 AG Case #: 143491314

Filed: 3/7/2014

Sales and Use Tax; UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$326,580.97 October 1, 2008 through February 29, 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Jansen, Jeffrey A.

Jansen Law Firm, PLLC / Houston

Issue: Plaintiff alleges improper audit methodology in a sales tax audit. Plaintiff also seeks insolvency relief and waiver of penalty and interest. Plaintiff seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Tara Levy, Robert Tycast, Vivian Daywood, John Butler, Rocky & Linda Piazza and Paul DeNucci, et al. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-001182 AG Case #: 103191029

Filed: 4/13/2010

#03-10-00648-CV

#13-0228

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,604,367.17	Comp USA
\$11,017,104.44	Best Buy
\$1,999,730.71	Office Max

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Perlmutter, Mark L. Perlmutter & Schuelke, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Whether claimant had standing to present the refund claim. Whether the claimant's documentation was sufficient to verify the claimed refund amount. Whether certain transactions are barred by the statute of limitations.

Status: Comptroller's Plea to the Jurisdiction considered 07/20/10. Final Order granting Comptroller's PTJ entered 09/03/10. Notice of Appeal filed 09/23/10. Appellant's brief filed 12/06/10. Appellee's Brief filed 01/18/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 02/17/11. Case submitted on oral argument on 04/13/11. Appellant's Notice filed 04/29/11. Opinion issued 07/20/12, affirming the trial court's judgment. Motion for Rehearing filed 08/06/12; denied 02/22/13. Second Opinion issued 02/22/13, withdrawing the Opinion issued 07/20/12 and affirming the trial court's judgment. Petitioner's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Petition for Review in the Tx. Supreme Court filed 05/02/13; granted 05/03/13. Petition for Review filed 06/07/13. Response requested 08/09/13. Response filed 09/16/13. Petitioner's Reply filed 10/11/13. Brief on the merits requested 11/22/13. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 01/17/14. Petitioner's Brief filed 02/21/14. Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 03/03/14; granted 03/06/14. Respondent's brief due 04/11/14. Respondent's Second Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 04/01/14. Respondent's Brief filed 04/25/14. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed and granted 04/29/14. Petitioner's Reply Brief filed 06/11/14. Petition for Review denied 06/27/14.

Tecpetrol Operating, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-002353 AG Case #: 103225868

Filed: 7/9/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$89,888.00	06/01/04 - 09/30/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Britt, Steve

Issue: Whether certain compressors used to move natural gas are subject to the manufacturing exemption.

Status: Answer filed.

Texas Gulf, Inc. v. Bullock, et al.

Cause Number: 485,228

AG Case #: 90311185

Filed: 6/5/1990

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$294,000.00	01/01/85 - 06/30/88

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Lipstet, Ira A. DuBois, Bryant & Campbell, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Are pipes exempt as manufacturing equipment or taxable as intra-plant transportation.

Status: Inactive.

Texas Health Presbyterian Hospital Denton v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003105 AG Case #: 123371171

Filed: 9/27/2012

Sales and Use Tax; APA & UDJA

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Leatherbury, Thomas S. Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. / Dallas

Penny, James D.
Rosenbaum, Glen A.

Vinson & Elkins LLP / Houston

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to retroactive exemption from the state sales tax based on the issuance date of its 501(c)(3) letter. Plaintiff challenges the validity of Comptroller Rule 3.322(g)(8)(B)(i). Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Hearing on Cross Motions for Summary Judgment and Defendants' PTJ held on 06/25/13. Order granting Plaintiff's MSJ to the extent Rule affects the effective date of exempt status for non-profits entered on 07/01/13.

TJ Leasing, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-003999 AG Case #: 143474781

Filed: 11/21/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$323,109.21	04/01/2007 through 09/30/2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of two aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Whether the Comptroller applied a policy subject to APA notice and comment. Plaintiff also seeks penalty waiver.

Status: Trial set for 09/02/14.

Toppan Photomasks, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002443 AG Case #: 133440065

Filed: 8/2/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$207,808.75	07/01/2005 through 12/31/2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether certain services on software and certain data processing services are eligible for exemption as being performed for use either entirely (§151.330(e)) or partially (§151.330(f)) outside of Texas. Whether certain items purchased by Plaintiff are eligible for exemption under §151.330(a) as being delivered to a point outside of Texas.

Status: Discovery in progress.

Tree of Life, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003402 AG Case #: 113300008

Filed: 11/4/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom

Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate the dismissal of D-1-GN-06-002103 for want of prosecution.

Status: Petition to reinstate D-1-GN-06-002103 granted 05/03/12.

Tres Palacios Gas Storage, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001722 AG Case #: 123338972

Filed: 6/7/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,530,870.00 10/01/07 through 11/30/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Ohlenforst, Cynthia M.

K&L Gates, LLP / Dallas

Russell, Andrew B.

Seekins, Angela J.

Issue: Whether the purchase of equipment for a natural gas distribution facility is eligible for the manufacturing exemption under §151.318.

Status: Answer filed.

Triagle Energy, LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-00035 AG Case #: 143475598

Filed: 1/6/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$325,876.86	11/01/2005 through 01/31/2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Calaf, Maria Amelia	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Oldham, Kevin C.	Dykema Gossett, PLLC / Austin
McEwen, Drew	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of certain data processing services is subject to the sale for resale exemption.

Status: Discovery in progress.

U.S. Food Service, Inc. f/k/a White Swan, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002611 AG Case #: 113287874

Filed: 8/29/2011

Sales Tax; Bill of Review

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom	Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin
-------------------	------------------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff seeks to vacate dismissal of GN304767 for want of prosecution.

Status: Petition to reinstate GN304767 granted 05/03/12.

U.S. Foodservice, Inc., et al. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003215 AG Case #: 093153260

Filed: 9/18/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$48,908.29	07/01/1998 through 07/31/2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Tourtellotte, Tom	Hance Scarborough, L.L.P. / Austin
-------------------	------------------------------------

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/10/14.

U.S. Foodservices, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000060 AG Case #: 103174488

Filed: 1/7/2010

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$200,000.00	(Plus penalty and interest)06/01/01 thru 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.	Attorney at Law / Austin
---------------------	--------------------------

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Petition also asserts manufacturing exemption claims for replacement parts, wrapping & packaging materials and certain work clothes.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/10/14.

Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-004221 AG Case #: 072484389

Filed: 12/7/2007

#07-11-00025-CV

#13-0343

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$20,179,336.77	01/01/96 - 03/31/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether programming services were taxable. If the services are taxable, whether their sale or use occurred in Texas.

Status: Bifurcated trial held 01/19/10. Case submitted to court after trial on 01/20/10. Post-submission briefs submitted on 02/03/10. Court ruled for Defendants on creation of TPP and first use in Texas, and against Defendants on delivery of other TPP (non-custom software). Trial on bifurcation portion on 10/04/10 in favor of Plaintiff. Final Judgment entered 10/29/10. Notice of Appeal filed by Verizon on 12/03/10. Case transferred to Amarillo Court of Appeals on 01/25/11. Appellant's Brief filed 04/04/11; oral argument requested. Appellee's brief filed 05/05/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 05/31/11. Appellant's Motion for Oral Argument filed 06/27/11. Appellee's Response filed 06/30/11. Appellant's Motion for Oral Argument denied 07/12/11. Case submitted on oral argument on 01/09/12. Appellee and Appellant Letter Briefs filed 02/14/12. Memorandum Opinion issued 04/03/13, affirming the trial court's judgment. Petition for Review filed 06/19/13. Response to Petition for Review waived 07/18/13. The Tx. Supreme Court requested that respondent file a response to the Petition for Review. Respondent's Motion for Extension of Time to File Response filed 10/23/13; granted 10/25/13. Response filed 11/13/13. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed and granted 11/18/13. Petitioner's Reply filed 12/17/13. Brief on the merits requested 01/17/14. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 01/22/14; granted 01/23/14. Petitioner's brief due 03/20/14. Petitioner's Motion to Abate filed 03/06/14.

Verizon Business Network Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-001162 AG Case #: 133408427

Filed: 4/8/2013

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$569,474.85	01/01/07 through 12/31/07

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug

Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether software updates constituted tangible personal property or programming services. Whether the sale or use of the software updates occurred in Texas. Plaintiff also seeks credit interest under §111.064. Whether the purchase of the software updates qualifies for multi-state benefit treatment under §151.330(f).

Status: Answer filed.

W. Robert Brown v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-000338 AG Case #: 113248231

Filed: 2/1/2011

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$21,228.61	04/01/03 - 06/30/03

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Eldred, Charles K.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tamborello, Gus G.

Houston

Issue: Whether sale of an aircraft qualified as an exempt sale of the seller's entire operating assets. Whether the assessment was barred by the 4-year statute of limitations.

Status: Hearing on cross motions for summary judgment held 06/12/14. Defendant's motion granted and Plaintiff's motion denied on 07/08/14. Notice of Appeal filed 07/29/14.

Walton Enterprises, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002094 AG Case #: 123346066

Filed: 7/12/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$99,567.77	

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pullen, Eric A.

Pulman, Cappuccio, Pullen & Benson, LLP / San Antonio

Sonsino, B. Raphael

Issue: Whether signs installed by Plaintiff become improvements to realty or instead remain tangible personal property. Whether Plaintiff's purchase of electricity and natural gas should be exempt under §151.318.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 07/11/13.

Weatherization Management Group, LLC, In re

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000456 AG Case #: 123309676

Filed: 2/17/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$100,000.00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Wood, Mary E.

Meadows, Collier, Reed, Cousins, Crouch & Ungerman, LLP / Dallas

Issue: Plaintiff challenges the requirement to post a security bond. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief.

Status: Answer filed.

Zook Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-14-000990 AG Case #: 143497345

Filed: 4/2/2014

Sales and Use Tax; Refund & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$101,411.64 July 1, 2007 through May 31, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Buck, E. Rhett

Houston

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's assessment of sales tax against Plaintiff property considered certain mitigating factors.

Status: Answer filed.

Insurance Tax

American National Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002014 AG Case #: 113278345

Filed: 7/6/2011

Gross Premium Tax & Maintenance Tax Tax; Refund, APA, UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,469,527.13	1999-2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Conway, Susan G.	Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody / Austin
Cabaniss, Boyce C.	

Issue: Whether certain premiums collected by Plaintiff are exempt as premiums paid for reinsurance.

Status: Trial set for 12/15/14.

American National Life Insurance Company of Texas v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002018 AG Case #: 113278469

Filed: 7/6/2011

Gross Premium Tax & Maintenance Tax Tax; Refund, APA, UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$614,142.00	1999-2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Conway, Susan G.	Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody / Austin
Cabaniss, Boyce C.	

Issue: Whether certain premiums collected by Plaintiff are exempt as premiums paid for reinsurance.

Status: Trial set for 12/15/14.

Argonaut Insurance Company and Argonaut Great Central v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-001584 AG Case #: 113269278
#03-13-00619-CV

Filed: 5/26/2011

Gross Premium & Maintenance Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,157,588.33	01/01/2006 through 12/31/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Pauerstein, Jonathan D.	Rosenthal Pauerstein Sandoloski Agather LLP / San Antonio
-------------------------	--

Bergman, Alia M.

Issue: Whether premium payments received by Defendants should be characterized as reinsurance premiums.

Status: Hearing on Cross Motions for Summary Judgment held on 05/22/13; letter granting Defendant's Motion for Summary Judgment signed 05/29/13.

Notice of Appeal filed 09/11/13. Appellant's Brief filed 12/18/13; oral argument requested. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 02/14/14. Appellee's Brief filed 03/21/14.

Fidelity National Title Ins. Co. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-001722 AG Case #: 103198883

Filed: 5/27/2010

Gross Premium Tax; Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$954,557.00	2009 to 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Burgess, Linda	Winstead P.C. / Austin
----------------	------------------------

Issue: Whether imposition of a premium tax on the entire amount of a title insurance premium

is violative of:

- i) the equal protection clauses of the U.S. and Texas Constitutions and
- ii) the equal and uniform taxation provision of the Texas Constitution

Status: Answer filed.

Imperial Fire and Casualty Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002808 AG Case #: 123362873
#03-13-00576-CV

Filed: 9/11/2012

Retaliatory Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$962,294.08	01/01/06 through 12/31/08

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether the Comptroller properly excluded certain investment credits in calculating retaliatory tax liability of a foreign insurer operating in Texas. Whether the Comptroller's application of Chapter 281 of the Insurance Code violates the Equal Protection Clause of the U.S. Constitution.

Status: Hearing on Cross Motions for Summary Judgment held on 07/02/13. Trial setting passed by agreement. Final Judgment granting Plaintiff's Motion and denying Defendants' Motion entered 07/16/13.

Notice of Appeal filed 08/23/13. Amended Notice of Appeal filed 12/05/13. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 12/09/13. Appellant's Brief filed 01/15/14. Appellee's Brief filed 02/28/14. Case submitted on oral argument on 04/23/14.

Standard Life and Accident Insurance Company v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-002020 AG Case #: 113278428

Filed: 7/6/2011

Gross Premium Tax & Maintenance Tax Tax; Refund, APA, UDJA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$292,098.81	1999-2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Conway, Susan G.
Cabaniss, Boyce C.

Graves, Dougherty, Hearon & Moody / Austin

Issue: Whether certain premiums collected by Plaintiff are exempt as premiums paid for reinsurance.

Status: Trial set for 12/15/14.

Other Taxes

35 Bar & Grill, LLC, et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002535 AG Case #: 082520511

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,913,112.25	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Deegear III, James O.
Matthews-Kasson, Michell

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also claims due process violations, and seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

A & D Interests, Inc., dba Heartbreakers v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002410 AG Case #: 082519083

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$67,785.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Pianelli, James V. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Badger Tavern L.P. et al. v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003794 AG Case #: 082534447

Filed: 10/20/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$21,065.00 Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Bassam Jaber Hantouli v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003547 AG Case #: 082531468

Filed: 9/26/2008

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$352,819.92 Jan. 1, 2003 - Aug. 31, 2006

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Gamboa, John L.

Gamboa & White / Fort Worth

Issue: Whether the Comptroller correctly estimated Plaintiff's tax on beer sales. Whether penalty and interest should be waived. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Non-Jury trial previously set for 09/26/11 has been passed by agreement. PTJ filed 11/12/12.

Benelux Corp., dba The Palazzo & Ziggfeld's Entertainment, Inc., dba Expose v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003385 AG Case #: 082529652

Filed: 9/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$70,620.00 Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Benelux Corp., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002489 AG Case #: 082520487

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$91,240.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-004179 AG Case #: 123363707

Filed: 12/7/2007

#03-08-00213-CV

#09-0481

#03-12-00527-CV

#14-0557

S.O.B. Fee Tax; Declaratory Judgment & Injunction

Claim Amount Reporting Period

2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Whitehead, G. Stewart

Winstead P.C. / Austin

Issue: Whether collection of a fee on sexually oriented businesses where alcohol is consumed violates the First Amendment as an illegal restriction on free speech. Whether the fee is an occupation tax that violates equal protection and fails to allocate revenue to public.

Status: Plaintiffs' application for temporary injunction was denied on 12/18/07. Plaintiffs filed a Motion for Partial Summary Judgment on 12/21/07, and set it for a hearing on 01/22/08. Defendants filed a Conditional Motion for Partial Summary Judgment and Motion for Leave to Supplement the Motion or for Continuance on 12/28/07. The parties agreed to continue the hearing until 02/05/08 at 2 p.m. The parties' responses are due 01/29/08. Hearing on Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment held on 02/05/08. Plaintiff's Motion for Partial Summary Judgment was denied 03/04/08. Court signed judgment for Plaintiffs on 03/28/08. Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law signed 05/07/08. Additional Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law signed 06/10/08. Motion to Supersede & Petition for Mandamus proceedings. Appellants' brief filed 08/11/08. Argued by Solicitor General on 02/11/09. Opinion issued 06/05/09, affirming district court's judgment. The Comptroller filed a Petition for Review with the Texas Supreme Court on 06/11/09. Briefing on the merits requested 08/26/09. Petitioner's Brief filed 09/25/09. Case submitted on oral argument on 03/25/10. Opinion issued 08/26/11, reversing the judgment of the Court of Appeals and remanding the case to the trial court for further proceedings. Petition for Writ of Certiorari filed with the U.S. Supreme Court on 11/23/11; denied 01/23/12. Case on Remand in District Court for remaining issues. Judgment holding the fee constitutional signed 07/09/12. Plaintiff's Notice of Appeal filed 08/08/12. State filed its Notice of Appeal on 09/04/12. Joint Appellant and Appellee's Motions filed 10/30/12. Case submitted on oral argument on 04/24/13. Opinion issued 05/09/14, affirming in part and reversing and rendering in part. Court holds that there was error in the trial court's judgment. The Court reversed the portions of the trial court's judgment holding that a sexually-oriented-business tax is an occupation tax and that twenty-five percent (25%) of the revenue from a sexually-oriented-business tax is required to go to public schooling, and rendered judgment that the sexually-oriented-business tax is not an occupation tax and there is no requirement that twenty-five percent (25%) of its revenue go to public schooling. The remainder of the trial court's judgment was affirmed. Appellant's Motion for Rehearing and Motion for Rehearing En Banc was filed 05/27/14; overruled 06/02/14.

Petition for Review filed in the Tx. Supreme Court 07/17/14. Response due 08/18/14.

D. Houston, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002483 AG Case #: 082519117

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$482,440.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Monshaugen, Ronald A. Monshaugen & Van Huff, P.C. / Houston
Van Huff, Albert T.

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

El Paso Entertainment, Inc. dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002548 AG Case #: 082520578

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,767.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Enterprise Operating Co., Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002575 AG Case #: 082520545

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$76,780.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Serper, Lauren M. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery suspended by Rule 11 Agreement. Pending final disposition of Texas Entertainment case.

ER Gaston, Ltd. dba The Elbow Room v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002744 AG Case #: 123359804

Filed: 9/5/2012

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Injunctive Relief, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$105,935.31 07/01/04 through 05/31/12

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Tresnicky, John M. The Lorenzana Law Firm, PC / Round Rock
Lorenzana, Jr., Elias V.

Issue: Whether the Comptroller's mixed beverage tax audit procedures constitute an APA rule and were not adopted in accordance with the APA. Plaintiff also challenges the imposition of penalty. Plaintiff seeks injunctive and declaratory relief.

Status: Defendant's Plea to the Jurisdiction and Response to Plaintiff's Request for Temporary Restraining Order filed 09/06/12. Order Denying Temporary Restraining Order entered 09/07/12.

FW, Inc. and S & S Bros., Inc. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002617 AG Case #: 082526575

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$23,685.00 FW, Inc.

\$15,881.25 S&S Bros, Inc.

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Deegear III, James O.
Matthews-Kasson, Michell

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Answer filed.

Golden Productions JCG Fort Worth LLC., dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002522 AG Case #: 082519992

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$11,055.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

I Gotcha, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002546 AG Case #: 082520503

Filed: 7/17/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$79,195.00	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Gamboa, John L.	Gamboa & White / Fort Worth
-----------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Isis Partners, L.P., et al. vs. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-002828 AG Case #: 072470107

Filed: 9/4/2007

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$20,409.70	09/01/02 through 11/30/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Bonilla, Ray

Ray, Wood & Bonilla, L.L.P. / Austin

Issue: Plaintiff claims that the Comptroller did not properly compute liability for mixed beverage gross receipts tax under Tax Code 111.008 and did not send notice of liability in compliance with federal and state due process requirements.

Status: Plea to the Jurisdiction filed 09/25/12.

John P. Bellam, dba Showgirl v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002491 AG Case #: 082519125

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$8,430.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Karpod, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002521 AG Case #: 082520479

Filed: 7/14/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$67,580.25 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Case is abated pending resolution of the Combs, et al. v. Texas Entertainment Association, Inc. and Karpod, Inc. case (Cause No. GN-07-004179)

KCK Utility Construction, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-004092 AG Case #: 133470864

Filed: 12/5/2013

Motor fuel tax Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$121,161.13	01/01/07 - 12/31/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Sams, Erika	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Whitehead, G. Stewart	Winstead P.C. / Austin
-----------------------	------------------------

Issue: Whether certain purchases of motor fuel by Plaintiff should be eligible for exemption as purchases for off-highway use.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/20/14.

Manana Entertainment, Inc., dba v. Susan Combs, Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003280 AG Case #: 082530288

Filed: 9/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,115.00	Apr. - June 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia	OAG Taxation / Austin
------------------	-----------------------

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

MC/VC, Inc. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003092 AG Case #: 082526187

Filed: 8/26/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$9,516.55	Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Deegear III, James O.

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Minh Tran Hammond v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: GN-13-002008

AG Case #: 133430371

Filed: 6/14/2013

Mixed Beverage Gross Receipts Tax; Injunctive Relief, Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$123,347.41 October 1, 2006 through January 31, 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Bolson, Anthony OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Monshaugen, Ronald A. Monshaugen & Van Huff, P.C. / Houston
Van Huff, Albert T.

Issue: Plaintiff challenges procedures utilized during a mixed beverage tax audit. Specifically, Plaintiff challenges the audit methodology applied in conducting the pour test and calculating the error rate.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 07/07/14.

North By East, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002624

AG Case #: 082520495

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$37,710.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Hopkins, Mark D.

Hopkins & Williams, PLLC / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

RPM Entertainment, Inc., et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002622 AG Case #: 082520552

Filed: 7/21/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$69,909.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Seay, Michael B.

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Savvy, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002520 AG Case #: 082520016

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$159,595.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia

OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

SSD Enterprises, Inc. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002301 AG Case #: 082518697

Filed: 7/1/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$64,485.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pianelli, James V. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

Texas Cabaret, Inc., dba, et al. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002490 AG Case #: 082520032

Filed: 7/16/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$49,795.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of Texas Entertainment case.

Texas Richmond Corp. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002438 AG Case #: 082519075

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$102,535.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pianelli, James V. Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

The King Lounge, Inc., dba v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-003793 AG Case #: 082536822

Filed: 10/20/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$138,875.00 Apr. - Sept. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Shells, T. Craig Richardson

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief and attorney's fees.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

The Men's Club Corp. v. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-002439 AG Case #: 082519091

Filed: 7/10/2008

Other Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$60,890.00 Jan. - Apr. 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Morales, Cynthia OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Pianelli, James V.

Houston

Issue: Whether the Sexually Oriented Business fee is unconstitutional.

Status: Discovery abated until resolution of the Texas Entertainment case.

Closed Cases

Amegy Bank Nat'l Assoc. v. State of Texas, et al.

Cause Number: 2013-36144

AG Case #: 133429951

Filed: 6/18/2013

Tax; Interpleader & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$50,218.49

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Huttenbach, William P.

Hirsch & Westheimer, P.C. / Houston

Stephens, Jacob M.

Issue: Whether certain funds held in a bank account were subject to a freeze and levy.

Status: Plaintiff's Notice of Nonsuit filed 07/16/13.

Basram Y. Faris v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000738 AG Case #: 133397083

Filed: 2/27/2013

Sales and Use Tax; UDJA & Injunctive Relief

Claim Amount Reporting Period

01/01/06 through 12/31/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Flammer, Sean

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Wilson, Michael L.

Rider & Wilson, Law Offices / Galveston

Issue: Plaintiff challenges the audit procedures and sampling methodology. Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/15/13.

BHR Texas L.P. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09-003056 AG Case #: 093150829

Filed: 9/10/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$19,590.14	05/01/2000 through 07/31/2004

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery & similar items provided to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/22/13.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN401955

AG Case #: 041988023

Filed: 6/21/2004

#03-09-00617-CV

#11-0283

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,750,000.00	12/01/88 - 05/31/95

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.	

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Order consolidating with Cause #D-1-GN-06-000787 signed 05/14/07. Summary Judgment hearing set for 01/22/08. Partial Summary Judgment for Blue Cross granted 02/01/08. Trial held 09/02/08. Evidence reopened. Letter ruling in favor of Blue Cross issued 07/16/09. Judgment for Plaintiff on 07/31/09. Notice of Appeal filed 10/28/09. Reporter's

Record filed 11/24/09. Clerk's Record filed 01/05/10. Appellant's brief filed 03/08/10. Appellee's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 03/24/10; granted 04/01/10. Appellee's brief filed 05/07/10. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed and granted 05/20/10. Reply brief filed 06/28/10. Case submitted on oral argument on 09/29/10. Appellee's Post-submission brief filed 10/13/10. Memorandum Opinion issued 03/16/11, affirming the district court's judgment. Petition for Review filed 06/20/11. Respondent's Response to Petition for Review waived 06/21/11. Court requested response 07/29/11. Response filed 08/23/11. Petitioner's Reply filed 09/02/11. Amicus Curiae letter filed 01/20/12. Response to Amicus Curiae brief filed by Respondent on 01/26/12. Brief on the Merits requested on 02/17/12. Petitioner's Motion to Consolidate filed 02/28/12. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed 03/06/12; granted 03/09/12. Petitioner's Brief filed 04/18/12. Respondent's brief filed 05/08/12. Petitioner's Motion for Extension of Time to File Reply Brief filed 05/14/12; granted 05/17/12. Reply Brief filed 06/22/12. Petitioner's Letter Brief filed 06/27/12. Respondent's Letter Brief filed 07/23/12. Petition for Review granted 01/18/13. Amicus Curiae Brief filed 02/22/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 02/27/13. Petitioner's Post-Submission Letter Brief filed 03/14/13. Response to Post-Submission Letter Brief filed 03/26/13. Opinion issued on 06/07/13, affirming the court of appeals' judgment on all but the lease issue which is reversed and remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Mandate issued 07/19/13. Agreed Judgment entered 11/13/13.

Blue Cross and Blue Shield of Texas, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-000787 AG Case #: 062296876

Filed: 3/6/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$3,029,344.00	06/01/95 - 12/31/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
Eidman, Mark W.	

Issue: Whether title passed to the federal government according to Plaintiff's contracts at the time Plaintiff took possession of the items, thus establishing the sale for resale exemption recognized in *Day & Zimmerman v. Calvert*.

Status: Order consolidating into Cause # GN401955 signed 05/14/07. Agreed Judgment entered 11/13/13.

Broadwing Corporation v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-003733 AG Case #: 062412879

Filed: 9/29/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$217,355.92	01/01/99 - 04/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Osterloh, Curtis J.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether finish-out work or improvements to real property is subject to tax when a part of the structure and leased space had been previously used and occupied.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 10/23/13.

Burns & McDonnell, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000201 AG Case #: 133391037

Filed: 1/16/2013

Franchise Tax; Protest, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$2,995,266.45	Report Years 2008-2011

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cobb, Bill	Jackson Walker, L.L.P. / Austin
------------	---------------------------------

Issue: Whether payments to subcontractors are eligible to be excluded from total revenue pursuant to either §171.1011(g)(3) or §171.1011(f). Whether the Comptroller's interpretation and application of §171.1011(g) constitutes an APA rule. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/15/13.

CEC Entertainment, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004594 AG Case #: 062430368

Filed: 12/12/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$244,808.38	01/01/02 - 09/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Flammer, Sean	OAG Taxation / Austin
---------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Plaintiff claims that paying sales tax on prizes awarded to successful contestants of coin-operated and non-coin operated games and on the admission price of non-coin operated games, in addition to annual occupational taxes, would be double taxation. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation, and due process.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 02/10/14.

Centreport Partners, L.P. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-07-000152 AG Case #: 072435795

Filed: 1/19/2007

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$14,095.15	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/22/13.

Church & Dwight Company, Inc. v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN000525

AG Case #: 001258201

Filed: 1/12/2000

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$64,868.50	10/01/90 - 12/31/93

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Benesh, W. Stephen Bracewell & Patterson / Austin
Sampson, Jr., Phillip L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff owes use tax on promotional materials shipped from out-of-state. Whether the Comptroller's imposition of use tax is invalid because Plaintiff made no use of the materials in Texas. Whether Rule 3.346(b)(3)(A) is invalid. Whether the tax violates the Commerce and Due Process Clauses of the United States Constitution.

Status: Case dismissed for want of prosecution 06/15/05. Case re-opened. Reinstated by bill of review 11/22/05. Agreed Judgment entered 02/06/14.

Dickens, Larry & Mary and Kevin & Jennifer Zaputil v. Combs and Connie Perry, Grimes County Tax Assessor and Collector

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-001909 AG Case #: 072457880

Filed: 6/1/2007

Motor Vehicle Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$180.00	2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Mather, Melissa OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Clevenger, Ty Attorney at Law / Bryan

Issue: Plaintiffs claim Section 152.023 of the Tax Code violates the Privileges and Immunities Clause of Article IV, Section 2 of the United States Constitution; the Commerce Clause of Article I, Section 8 of the United States Constitution; and the Privileges and Immunities Clause and the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment to the United States Constitution. Plaintiffs also seek attorneys' fees.

Status: Case transferred to Travis County. Case Dismissed for Want of Prosecution on 06/06/13.

Garriott, Robert v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-003034 AG Case #: 123370157

Filed: 9/27/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$280,041.29	04/01/09 through 03/31/10

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.	
Ahlich, Danielle V.	

Issue: Whether an aircraft purchased and registered in another state, but used in Texas, is subject to Texas use tax. Plaintiff also asserts that the application of Comptroller Rule 3.297 violates the Equal Protection Clause and the Equal and Uniform Clause.

Status: Final Judgment entered in favor of Plaintiff on 10/01/13.

Health Care Service Corp., et al. vs. Compt., et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-08-001771 AG Case #: 082512302
#03-10-00675-CV
#11-0652

Filed: 5/23/2008

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$1,475,798.29	1-1-1999 through 12-31-2003

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Eidman, Mark W.	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to the resale exemption pursuant to the Day & Zimmerman and Raytheon cases.

Status: Trial held 06/01/10. Judgment for Plaintiff entered 07/13/10. Order Denying Defendants' Request for Amended and Additional Findings of Facts and Conclusions of Law entered 08/23/10. Notice of Appeal filed 10/06/10. Appellant's Motion to Abate Appeal filed 11/29/10; overruled 12/09/10. Appellee's Response filed 12/08/10. Appellant's brief filed

01/11/11. Appellee's brief filed 02/09/11; oral argument requested. Oral argument denied 02/25/11. Appellant's Reply Brief filed 03/01/11. Appellee's certificate of conference filed 04/13/11. Appellant's and Appellee's Letter Briefs filed 04/20/11. Appellee's Motion to File Supplemental Brief filed 05/09/11; granted 05/24/11. Case submitted on briefs on 07/05/11. Memorandum Opinion issued 07/07/11, affirming the district court's judgment. Petition for Review filed 08/22/11. Response filed 11/28/11. Petitioner's Reply filed 12/21/11. Amicus Curiae letter received 01/20/12. Response to Amicus Curiae brief filed by Respondent on 01/26/12. Brief on the merits requested on 02/17/12. Petitioner's Motion to Consolidate filed 03/06/12. Petitioner's Brief filed 04/18/12. Respondent's Brief filed 05/08/12. Petitioner's Letter Brief filed 06/27/12. Respondent's Letter Brief filed 07/23/12. Petition for Review granted 01/18/13. Amicus Curiae Brief filed 02/22/13. Case submitted on oral argument on 02/27/13. Petitioner's Post-Submission Letter Brief filed 03/14/13. Response to Post-Submission Letter Brief filed 03/26/13. Opinion issued on 06/07/13, affirming the court of appeals' judgment on all but the lease issue which is reversed and remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. Mandate issued 07/19/13. Agreed Judgment entered 11/13/13.

Intrepid Directional Drilling Specialists, Ltd. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002718 AG Case #: 123361347

Filed: 9/4/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Injunction, Protest & UDJA

Claim Amount Reporting Period

\$1,997,147.00 02/01/06 through 11/30/09

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.

OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.

Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin

Leonard, Lacy L.

Ahlich, Danielle V.

Issue: Plaintiff challenges sampling methodology applied in a sales tax audit. Whether Plaintiff remitted tax in error on items consumed in providing oil field services. Plaintiff also asserts detrimental reliance. Plaintiff seeks injunctive relief as well as declaratory relief under the UDJA and the tax code.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/21/14.

La Frontera Lodging Partners, L.P., Tex-Air Investment Company, John Q. Hammons Hotels Two, L.P. and John Q. Hammons Hotels, L.P. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004633 AG Case #: 062430566

Filed: 12/15/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$6,958.18	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$5,591.87	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$31,330.82	07/01/00 - 06/30/04
\$21,811.57	07/01/00 - 06/30/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Van Oort, Kevin OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/22/13.

Liaison Resources LP v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000624 AG Case #: 123320525

Filed: 3/2/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$96,872.66	Report Years 2008-2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to exclude certain receipts from total revenue under §171.1011(k) as a staff leasing services company. Whether Plaintiff must utilize the compensation deduction when calculating its taxable margin.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/18/13.

Lone Star Industries, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-10-000065 AG Case #: 103172730

Filed: 1/7/2010

Franchise Tax; Protest & Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$428,568.50	Report years 1999-2002

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Langenberg, Ray	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether taxpayer's taxable capital should be based on its historical cost without regard to applicable push-down adjustments.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/15/13.

Precision Recovery Analytics, Inc. fka Collins Financial Services, Inc. v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-000931 AG Case #: 133404913

Filed: 3/18/2013

Sales and Use Tax; UDJA & Injunctive Relief

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$145,024.00	2011 and 2012

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Johnson, Nathan M.	Spector & Johnson, PLLC / Dallas
--------------------	----------------------------------

Issue: Whether certain funds held in a bank account were subject to a freeze and levy.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/11/13.

Renewable Energy Systems v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-11-003625 AG Case #: 113303044

Filed: 11/28/2011

Franchise Tax; Refund

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$350,574.09 Report year 2008

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Moore, Steven D. Jackson Walker, L.L.P / Austin
McCalla, Dudley D.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is exempt from the franchise tax under §171.056 as being solely engaged in the business of installing solar energy devices.

Status: Hearing on Cross MSJ's held 06/13/13. Plaintiff's Motion granted. Final Order and Judgment entered 06/26/13.

Sunbelt Custom Mineral, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001504 AG Case #: 123334245

Filed: 5/16/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount Reporting Period
\$95,088.00 Report Years 2008 - 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F. Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Traphagan, Amanda M.
Leonard, Lacy L.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's election to file an EZ Report disqualifies the Plaintiff from subsequently claiming the COGS deduction for the same report year.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/18/13.

Sysco Food Services of Austin, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN400465

AG Case #: 041925850

Filed: 2/17/2004

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$92,357.48	05/01/98 - 04/30/01

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Osterloh, Curtis J.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 08/28/13.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Service of Houston, Inc.) v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: GN100633

AG Case #: 011420734

Filed: 3/1/2001

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$196,492.74	01/01/94 - 12/31/96

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.	Attorney at Law / Austin
---------------------	--------------------------

Blume, James D.	BLUME, FAULKNER, SKEEN & NORTHAM, PLLC / Richardson
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 09/20/13.

Sysco Food Services of Houston, L.P. (fka Sysco Food Services of Houston, Inc.) v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN302075

AG Case #: 031816119

Filed: 6/13/2003

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$270,401.80	07/01/94 - 06/30/98

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M.	Attorney at Law / Austin
---------------------	--------------------------

Blume, James D.	BLUME, FAULKNER, SKEEN & NORTHAM, PLLC / Richardson
-----------------	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether equipment is exempt for the same reason.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 09/20/13.

Sysco Food Services of San Antonio, LP, et al. v. Combs

Cause Number: D-1-GN-09001026 AG Case #: 093116531

Filed: 3/31/2009

Sales and Use Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$239,634.20	01/01/02 through 09/30/05

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Osterloh, Curtis J.	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 08/26/13.

Texas Health Harris Methodist Hospital Alliance v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-13-002430 AG Case #: 133433912

Filed: 7/18/2013

Sales and Use Tax; APA & UDJA

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Leatherbury, Thomas S. Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. / Dallas

Wimberly, Travis R. Vinson & Elkins L.L.P. / Austin

Penny, James D. Vinson & Elkins LLP / Houston

Rosenbaum, Glen A.

Issue: Whether Plaintiff is entitled to retroactive exemption from the state sales tax based on the issuance date of its 501(c)(3) letter. Plaintiff challenges the validity of Comptroller Rule 3.322(g)(8)(B)(i). Plaintiff seeks declaratory and injunctive relief.

Status: Notice of Nonsuit filed 10/04/13.

Tree of Life, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002103 AG Case #: 062367701

Filed: 6/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$200,000.00	01/01/97 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as electricity used in processing. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether packing supplies and replacement parts of processing equipment qualify as manufacturing equipment and exempt from sales tax. Whether the Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction. Plaintiff claims violation of equal and uniform taxation. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Case reinstated on 05/03/12. Agreed Judgment entered 03/05/14.

United Space Alliance, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-001618 AG Case #: 123338212

Filed: 5/29/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$19,987,673.18	Report Years 2008 - 2010

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Gilliland, David H.	Duggins Wren Mann & Romero, LLP / Austin
---------------------	--

Issue: Whether Plaintiff properly reported its total revenue. Whether Plaintiff is eligible to treat certain receipts as reimbursements of wages and whether Plaintiff is eligible to exclude such receipts from total revenue under §171.1011(m-1) as a management company. Whether Plaintiff's election to utilize the 30% deduction disqualifies Plaintiff from subsequently claiming either the COGS deduction or the compensation deduction for the same report year.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 04/11/14.

Warranty Underwriters Insurance Company v. Rylander, et al.

Cause Number: 99-12271

AG Case #: 991226739

Filed: 10/20/1999

Insurance Tax; Protest & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$416,462.73	1993 - 1997
\$214,893.74	1993 - 1997

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

White, Raymond E.	McGinnis, Lochridge & Kilgore, LLP / Austin
-------------------	---

Issue: Whether the Comptroller improperly included amounts not received by Plaintiff in Plaintiff's gross premiums tax base. Whether any maintenance tax is payable on Plaintiff's business of home warranty insurance. Whether the Comptroller is bound by the prior actions and determinations of the Texas Department of Insurance. Whether the assessments of tax violate due process and equal taxation. Whether penalty and interest should have been waived.

Status: Agreed Order of Dismissal with Prejudice entered 02/10/14.

Warren Transportation, LLC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-002173 AG Case #: 123348344
#03-13-00541-CV

Filed: 7/9/2012

Sales and Use Tax; Protest, UDJA & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$348,991.00	07/01/2007 through 09/30/2007

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Martens, James F.	Martens, Todd & Leonard / Austin
Leonard, Lacy L.	

Issue: Whether Plaintiff's purchase of an aircraft was eligible for exemption as a sale for resale. Whether the Comptroller applied an economic substance policy subject to the APA notice and comment requirements. Plaintiff also seeks declaratory relief under the APA and UDJA.

Status: Trial held 06/10/13. Order granting Defendants' Motion for Directed Verdict entered 06/28/13.

Notice of Appeal filed 08/16/13. Appellant's Motion for Extension of Time to File Brief filed and granted 11/04/13. Appellant's Motion to Dismiss filed 12/20/13; granted 01/07/14.

Memorandum Opinion issued 01/07/14, granting Appellant's motion and dismissing the appeal.

Watson Sysco Food Services, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002879 AG Case #: 062397849

Filed: 8/10/2006

Sales Tax; Protest

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$63,720.38	04/01/01 - 07/31/04

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B.	OAG Taxation / Austin
----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Hagenswold, R. Eric	Scott, Douglass & McConnico, L.L.P. / Austin
Osterloh, Curtis J.	

Issue: Whether electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt as

electricity used in processing.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 12/12/13.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: GN304767

AG Case #: 041904608

Filed: 12/18/2003

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$415,185.61	10/01/93 - 12/31/97

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the decision of the Comptroller violated the statute and long-standing Comptroller policy.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/10/14.

White Swan, Inc. v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-002987 AG Case #: 062398086

Filed: 8/17/2006

Sales Tax; Refund & Declaratory Judgment

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$219,297.54	01/01/98 - 12/31/00

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Cloudt, Jim B. OAG Taxation / Austin

Opposing Counsel

Cunningham, Judy M. Attorney at Law / Austin

Issue: Whether the purchase of electricity used to lower the temperature of food products is exempt under Tax Code Sections 151.317 and 151.318. Whether the process causes a physical change to the products. Whether the purchases of packing supplies and repairs to and replacement parts of processing are exempt from sales tax. Whether the decision of the

Comptroller violated the rules of statutory construction and long-standing Comptroller policy. Plaintiff also seeks attorneys' fees.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 03/10/14.

Winstead, PC v. Combs, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-12-000141 AG Case #: 123313777

Filed: 1/19/2012

Franchise Tax; Protest & APA

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$28,974.15	Report Years 2008 and 2009

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Jones, Matthew C.	OAG Taxation / Austin
-------------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Nolan, Peter A.	Winstead PC / Austin
Rabb, Jennifer Patterson	
Kana, Anna	

Issue: Whether, for purposes of the compensation deduction, certain expenditures are eligible §171.1013(b)(2) employee benefit costs and subject to inclusion in the deduction amount.

Status: Cross motions for summary judgment heard on 12/03/12. Plaintiff's Motion granted 02/07/13. Order signed 03/18/13.

Wyndham International Operating Partnership, LP v. Strayhorn, et al.

Cause Number: D-1-GN-06-004260 AG Case #: 062425574

Filed: 11/9/2006

Sales Tax; Refund

Claim Amount	Reporting Period
\$31,283.31	01/01/99 - 09/30/02

Counsel Associated With This Case:

Assistant Attorney General

Van Oort, Kevin	OAG Taxation / Austin
-----------------	-----------------------

Opposing Counsel

Sigel, Doug	Ryan Law Firm, LLP / Austin
-------------	-----------------------------

Issue: Whether certain amenity and consumable items such as shampoo, stationery and similar

items resold to hotel guests are exempt from sales tax as sales for resale.

Status: Agreed Judgment entered 11/22/13.

Index

Aircraft

certificated carrier	36, 47, 50, 81, 88
consumables	54, 54, 94
divergent use	43
economic substance	46, 48, 64, 82, 89, 99, 139
hanger location	85, 130
maintenance	54, 54
nexus	6
out of state delivery	82, 92
out of state registration	62, 63, 85, 130
sale for resale	36, 43, 46, 47, 48, 53, 64, 67, 81, 82, 84, 88, 89, 92, 99, 139
sale of entire business	104
use tax	92

Amended Returns

detrimental reliance	6
equitable estoppel	6

APA

judicial review	94
rule challenge	58, 65
rule promulgation	46, 81, 85, 116, 128, 139
rule validating	8, 44

Apportionment

gross receipts	16, 16
interstate deliveries	24
location of delivery	22
subscription television	11
three-factor formula	1, 2, 4, 4, 7, 9, 10, 13, 13, 15, 17, 18, 18, 19, 19, 20, 26, 28, 62

Assessment

AP122	81, 82, 91
AP92	81, 82, 91
authority of Comptroller	56

convenience store	81, 82, 91, 93
double taxation	41, 84, 128
estimated audit	81, 85, 91
export items	84
fraud penalty	68
freeze and levy	125, 134
insolvency relief	82
interest	95
liability for tax	93
mixed beverage	120
out of state sales	90
procedure	90
statute of limitations	104
tax collected but not remitted	93

Audit

alleged errors	78
double taxation	84
estimated audit	53, 96, 125
procedure	49, 53, 82, 86, 87, 91, 96, 120
sampling procedures	80, 87, 96, 125
software services	84

Bad Debt Credit

private label agreement	76
-------------------------	----

Bill of Review

--	100
dismissal for want of prosecution	68, 70, 71, 71
jurisdiction	61
remand	61

Bonds

security bond	44, 105
---------------	---------

Business Loss Carry Forward

temporary credit	31
temporary credit calculation	22

Combined Reporting

unitary business 10

Compensation Deduction

benefit costs 142

Computer Software

allocation 87
custom software 60, 65, 99
multi-state use 99, 103
sale for resale 87, 94
services 60, 65, 99, 102, 103
software services 35, 60
taxability 60
upgrades 103

Construction Contract

exempt entities 47

Cost of Goods Sold

automobile 8
automobile repair 5, 7, 11, 12, 28
electricity 23
electricity generation & transmission 22
heavy equipment rental 27
hydraulic fracturing 1
landfill operations 30
motion pictures 3, 3
net profit interests 5
pre-paid telephone cards 17
safety services 30
seismic data 8
services 5, 7, 8, 11, 12, 27
telecom services 23

Custom Brokers

24-hour rule 73
export clause 73

Data Processing

sale for resale 101

Detrimental Reliance

detrimental reliance 132

Electricity

manufacturing exemption 104, 138
processing 72, 73, 100, 101, 135, 136, 136, 137, 140, 141, 141
refrigeration 101, 102
residential use 67

Environmental Services

essence of the transaction 83
new construction or maintenance 41

Equality

uniform taxation 58

Exclusions from Total Revenue

courier & logistics company 24
fiduciary funds 5, 24, 27
interest income 22
management company 138
management fee income 22
subcontracting payments 23, 24, 27, 29, 30
subcontractor payments 128
tax basis of securities 5
temporary employment service 133

Exempt Entities

building maintenance services 54, 94
improvements to realty 94
retroactive exemption 98, 137

Financing Lease

characterization of contract 83

Franchise Tax Credit

manufacturing equipment 9

Gross Premiums

reinsurance 107, 107, 108, 109
self insurance risk pools 108
title insurance 108

Gross Receipts

earned surplus 15

investments & assets	16, 16
offsets	14

Hotel Occupancy

assessment	76
equipment rentals	76
internet services	76
security services	76
telecom services	76

Improvement to Realty

airports	94
sign installation	104

Information services

title search	55
--------------	----

Injunction

bond requirement	44, 105
exempt entities	98, 137
mixed beverage	116

Insurance Maintenance Tax

retaliatory tax	109
-----------------	-----

Interest

offsets	95
---------	----

Interpleader

freeze and levy	125
-----------------	-----

Intraplant Transportation

cranes	39
manufacturing exemption	98

Investment tax credits

carry forward	32, 32
qualifying expenditures	31, 32, 32
timing of qualifying events	12

Leased Property

authority of Comptroller	56
location of use	56
ships	56

Lien

validity	37, 82
----------	--------

Local Sales Tax

consumation of sale	51, 51
place of business	51
tax situs	86

Manufacturing Equipment

franchise tax credit	9
----------------------	---

Manufacturing Exemption

broadcasting equipment	69, 69
casing	80
chemicals	36
compressors	97, 100
cranes	39
electricity	69, 73, 78, 78, 79, 101, 104, 138
electricity;wrapping & packaging;clothes	102
food processing	87
food products	57, 68, 70, 71, 72, 79
gas distribution	100
intraplant transportation	39, 63, 98
natural gas	104
new category- software	93
oil field operations	39, 40, 45, 46, 63, 95, 95, 100
packaging	57, 57
pipe	63, 98
restaurant operations	42
sign installation	104

Margin Calculation

automobile repair	5, 7, 11, 12
commerce clause	18
commerce clause challenge	13, 18, 19, 20
compensation deduction	142
cost of goods sold	1, 2, 3, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12, 21, 22, 23, 23, 24, 24, 27, 29, 30, 30
detrimental reliance	6
due process clause	18, 23
due process clause challenge	13, 18, 19, 20, 30
election of deduction	2, 6, 29, 133, 135, 138

equal & uniform clause challenge	5, 6, 11, 12, 13, 23
equal & uniform due process	8
equal protection challenge	2, 5, 11, 12, 20, 23, 24, 24
EZ Report	29, 135
flow-through funds	3, 3, 30
heavy equipment rental	27
hydraulic fracturing	1
overhead costs	21
pass-through funds	27
staff leasing	133
subcontractor payments	21, 24, 128
tax rate	8, 13, 20
<i>Mixed Beverage Tax</i>	
depletion analysis	116, 120
<i>Mixed Drinks</i>	
sampling method	112
<i>Motor Fuel</i>	
audit procedure	119
off road use	119
<i>Motor Vehicle Property</i>	
--	130
nexus	89
<i>New Construction</i>	
emission control equipment	65
environmental services	41
finish-out work	127
<i>Officer and Director Compensation</i>	
add-back to surplus	14, 15, 26
<i>Penalty</i>	
	#Error
extended due date	27
fraud	68, 85
waiver	3, 27, 46, 48, 86, 99
<i>Personal Liability</i>	
	#Error

Pipe

manufacturing exemption	98
-------------------------	----

Premiums

home warranty insurance	139
-------------------------	-----

Promotional Materials

nexus	129
-------	-----

Push-down Accounting

merger	134
--------	-----

Real Property Repair and Remodeling

finish-out work	127
tax-included contracts	77

Real Property Service

asbestos abatement	86
landman services	55

Refund Claim

class action	55
required information	90
standing	55

Retail Trade

equal protection challenge	24
installed auto parts	25
rent-to-own contracts	24, 30

Sale for Resale

	#Error
--	74, 86
aircraft	36, 43, 46, 47, 48, 53, 64, 81, 82, 84, 88, 89, 92, 99, 139
blanket resale certificates	42
building maintenance services	54
contractor	35
data processing	77, 101
double taxation	128
equipment	75
federal contractor	75, 126, 127, 131
hotel amenities	59, 125, 129, 132, 142

packaging	57
software licenses	94

Sales Tax

aircraft	58, 130
airplane	50
assessment	76, 79, 96
bookkeeping services	49
certificated carrier	50
communication services	77
computer software	44, 60, 103
data processing	49, 50, 77, 99, 101
equipment leases	76, 132
exempt entities	40, 98, 137
exemption certificates	49, 50
financing leases	76, 83
intercorporate services	42
licensed carrier	50
lump sum contracts	66
management services	42
multi-state use	49, 50, 99, 103
oil field services	132
out of state sales	65, 86, 99
proprietary information services	64, 74, 74
rebate coupons	96
retroactive exemption	98, 137
sale for resale	40, 58, 75, 77
sampling procedures	76, 87
successor liability	37, 44
temporary employment service	38
ticket sales	53

Sales/Use tax

convenience store	38, 43, 105
declaratory judgment	38, 43
equal & uniform	44
injunction	38, 43
open court doctrine	38, 43, 65

Sampling Technique

accrual date	54
sampling procedures	60, 86, 87, 125, 132

Sexually Oriented Business Fee

constitutionality	111, 111, 111, 112, 113, 113, 114, 115, 115, 116, 117, 117, 118, 118, 119, 119, 120, 121, 121, 122, 122, 122, 123, 123
-------------------	--

Solar Energy Devices

wind farms	134
------------	-----

Statute of Limitations

accrual date	54, 54
--------------	--------

Successor Liability

assessment after sale	37, 66
-----------------------	--------

Taxable Entities

passive entity	10
----------------	----

Telecommunication Services

accounts receivable	33
networking services	33
pre-payment discounts	47

UDJA

attorney fees	5, 6, 11, 12
declaration	5, 8, 11, 12, 65, 68

Use Tax

aircraft	92
----------	----

Waste Removal

real property services	48
------------------------	----

Water Recycling

pipng	80
pumping equipment	80